







MODERNIZATION OF ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION STUDIES PROGRAMMES FOR ARMENIA AND GEORGIA MENVIPRO

COURSE COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC-EDUCATIONAL CENTER OF NAS RA

CONTENTS

Introduction	3
Project Background	4
Urban Ecology	6
Environmental Monitoring and Measurement Devices	19
Environmental Radiation Protection	40
Environmental Statistics	39
Soil Quality Monitoring	44
Environmental Geochemistry	49
Food Safety Risk Assessment	52
Environmental Toxicology	60
Applied Remote Sensing	66
Geospatial Data Management & Geocomputation for Sustainable Development	
Landscape Planning.	77

INTRODUCTION

The study visits to European universities: University of Tuscia (Italy), Instituto Superior Tecnico de Lisboa (IST UL) (Portugal), National Research Council of Italy - Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Institute (Italy) and Institute of Geosciences and Geography of Martin-Luther-Universitaet Halle-Wittenberg (Germany) aimed to familiarize the Armenian partners of MENVIPRO (Modernization of Environmental Protection Studies programmes for Armenia and Georgia) Erasmus+ capacity building project with environmental education offered by the aforesaid universities operating in and representing the academic portrait in different countries. The study visits proved to be informative and productive: the invited professors and administrative staff shared detailed information with the Armenian participants enabling them to observe the educational process from various perspectives, specifically in terms of structuring environmentalrelated academic programs in the second cycle of education (Master's degree) laying great stress on the structure, content and quality assurance in the courses embedding the program. The European partner universities and institutions have effective procedures and valuable practice of providing environmental education which can be tailor-made to suit and cater the needs of Armenian HEIs.

YEREVAN 2019

PROJECT BACKGROUND

MODERNIZATION OF ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION STUDIES PROGRAMMES FOR ARMENIA AND GEORGIA

Project number: 598232-EPP-1-2018-1-IT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Project acronym: MENVIPRO Duration: 36 months (2018-2021)

Applicant (Coordinator): Universita Degli Studi Della Tuscia – Italy

EU partners

- Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche Italy
- Giraf Pm Services Gmbh Germany
- Martin-Luther-Universitaet Halle-Wittenberg Germany
- Universidade De Lisboa Portugal

Partner countries

Armenia

- Center for Ecological-Noosphere Studies of NAS RA
- ➤ National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia represented by International Scientific-Educational Center of NAS RA (ISEC NAS RA)
- ➤ Gavar State University (GSU)

Georgia

- Georgian Research and Educational Networking Association
- ➤ Ilia State University
- ➤ The University of Georgia

Project Summary

The overall objective of the project is to significantly improve the quality of MSc. studies in the field of Environment Protection in Armenia and Georgia on the basis of complex modernization of the curricula in line with the Bologna principles, Salzburg principles of EAU and best European practice. The curricula development will be firmly based on the analysis of the best practice obtained in Europe, target countries and beyond and incorporate the latest innovations in educational technologies. The focus will be on close relations between postgraduate studies and research activities in order to equip the graduates with cutting edge knowledge and practical skills. University-society-industry links will play also a key role to guarantee high employability of graduates. In order to radically modernize the MSc. education in EP – technology-intensive domain of knowledge, the project will establish a unique inter-University education and research facility, which will be used for student projects, collaborative projects with external

stakeholders and demonstration activities to reinforce links to the University environment and promote environment-friendly mindsets. The ERLEP will represent one of the major tangible outcomes of the project and will define the quality standards of postgraduate studies in the field of EP for many years. Moreover, the ERLEP will provide the access to a modern laboratory infrastructure and enable various projects between Universities and external stakeholders.

URBAN ECOLOGY

Course Comparative Analysis

Urban ecology is a subfield of ecology that deals with the interactions of plants and animals (including humans) within urban and suburban environments and study of how urban communities and other high-population developments affect the natural resources and ecosystems around them. The course is intended for students and aims at developing a science-based ecological perception, acquiring comprehensive knowledge in building an environmentally friendly habitat, gaining relevant decision-making skills. Key issues to be addressed include the status of urban geo-sociosystem and forecasting the ways of its development as a whole, interaction of its components, the influence of urban environment on adjacent sites and their ecosystems. This course, Urban Ecology, is already taught at Department of Environmental Protection and Nature Management of **ISEC** https://www.isec.am/en/departments/environmental-protection-and-naturemanagement.html. The information has been collected through different websites of EU universities for the implementation of syllabi comparative analysis which allows identifying the most relevant and similar courses to "Urban Ecology" subject to modernization. The details of the similar courses offered by foreign universities are presented here which have been compared with Urban Ecology course offered by ISEC.

The three universities are as follows:

- 1. University of Reading (https://www.reading.ac.uk/module/document.aspx?modP=BI3EF7&modYR=1415),
- 2. University College Dublin (http://www.ucd.ie/)
- 3. University of Tuscia (UNITUS) (http://www.unitus.it/it/unitus).

The University of Reading is a public university located in Reading, Berkshire, England. It was founded in 1892 as University College, Reading, a University of Oxford extension college. The University has been arranged into 16 academic schools since 2016. Reading was ranked 35th in the UK amongst multi-faculty institutions for the quality (GPA) of its research and 28th for its Research Power in the 2014 Research Excellence Framework. In total, 98% of the University's research is labelled as "internationally recognised", 78% as "internationally excellent" and 27% as "world leading". "Urban Ecology" is the selected course at the University of Reading.

The University College Dublin is a research university in Dublin, Ireland. It has over 1,482 faculty and 32,000 students, and it is Ireland's largest university. CD is consistently ranked as one of the best in Europe on worldwide metrics. As of 2019, it was ranked by the QS World University Rankings as 193rd in the world. This University offers a course in "Urban Environment".

University of Tuscia is located in Viterbo, with campuses in and around its historical centre. University of Tuscia was founded in 1979 and quickly developed into what it is

today: the hub of culture and learning in the province, offering courses suited to the requirements of local, national and international students. The educational activities are managed by six Departments offering 15 undergraduate programmes, 17 second-level degree programmes and 2 single cycle degree programmes. The title of the course in UNITUS is "Applied Ecology of Urban Environment".

Additional details about the study processes and courses are provided in the below table.

European example from	University of Reading Urban Ecology	Dublii	rsity College n Environment	University of Tuscia (UNITUS) Ecology of Urban Environment/Ap plied Ecology in Urban Environment	ISEC course to be modernized in Armenia Urban Ecology
University/Program Pro	ofile				
Criterion A: University Profile Classic or applied	Classic	Both		Both	Both
Classic of applied	Glassic	Dotti		Dotti	(Research University)
Overall number of students	https://www.to puniversities.co m/universities/ university- reading	32000 http://www.ucd.ie/		Not available	800
Number of Environment protection related disciplines	3	3		Not available	2
Number of Environment protection students	Not available	Not available		Not available	13
Criterion B: Program/di	scipline profile				
Theoretical or applied	Both (Theoretical Applied)	al + Both (Theoretical + Applied)		Both	Both (Theoretical + Applied)
Number of students	Not available		Not available	18	13
Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study program	This course providetailed knowled the ecology of areas, both in context of urban	dge of urban n the	In this course is particularly values the application of academic	Urban environmental quality	Urban ecology is one of the mandatory courses at the Department of

	Reading University	ogy ind	learning to the urban environment of Dublin in particular through collaborative engagement with external stakeholders and it is one of main courses of Environmental disciplines at the University College Dublin			Environmental Protection and Nature Management. It is the study of how urban communities and other high- population developments affect the natural resources and ecosystems around them.
Criterion C: Course type	e					
Bachelor or master level	Master Level		Master Level	Master Level		Master Level
Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	1/1		1/1, 1/2	2nd semester of last year		1/2
Selective or mandatory	Mandatory		Mandatory	Selective		Mandatory
Theoretical / applied	Both		Both	Both		Both
Criterion D: Relations to	o other courses in the	prog	ram			
Prerequisite courses Outcome courses	An adequate Bachelor's degree with a minimum grade must be proven for admission to this Master's degree program 1. State and	sho min hon or i	plicants ould have a nimum of an nours degree international nivalent at chelor level. Relevant	5 CFU Chemistry; 5 CFU Biology; 5 CFU Forestry	De En Mo Me De	evelopment, evironmental conitoring and easurement evices
	describe the variation in the definition of "urban areas", and how this may impact the synthesis of urban ecology studies 2. Describe and discuss patterns of variation in the	provole explored part appropries 2. who land	ofessional or untary perience may considered as at of the olication ocess.			

structure of urban areas, including in context of the socioeconomic divisions 3. Compare and contrast the impact of urbanization on the distribution, density and community structure of different taxonomic groups including mammals, birds and invertebrates Describe the role of human migration as mechanism for the "global homogenization" of urban flora and fauna 5. Describe and evidence discuss for and against the impact of wildlife natural and landscapes within urbanized areas on human health and well-being, and how this may be managed for the benefit of society 6. Discuss how urban areas could be managed for benefit wildlife, including e.g. the design of urban gardens, urban nature reserves and by

using

"green

demonstrate
English language
proficiency of
IELTS 6.5 (no
band less than
6.0 in each
element), or
equivalent.

If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	roofs". Emphasis will be given to possible limitations and benefits of these different approaches No data	No	data	Phytotechnol ogies	Urban ecology is not a part of a course group/cluster
Criterion E: Department teaching a course Non-graduating / Graduating / Other	Graduating	Gr	aduating	Graduating	Graduating
Criterion F: Course load					
Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations	10 [5 ECTS credits]		level 9 NQF, credits 90	6 ECTS	3 ECTS (90 hours)
Number of credits associated with particular course activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.)	Lectures – 20 hours Guided independent study-80 hours Total hours for module-100 hours		Total hours for module- 90 credits with 60 credits of taught modules and a 30 credit thesis.	No data	Lectures – 1 ECTS Practical and self-study – 2 ECTS 3 credits 1st midterm exam: up to 4 points (20%) 2nd midterm exam: up to 4 points (20%) Final exam: up to 10 points (50%) Attendance: up to 2 points (10%)
Criterion G: Pedagogy	1		ı		
Traditional place- based learning	+		+	No	+
Blended learning	No data		No data	Partially	+

Flipped classroom	No data	No data	NO	-
МООС	_	_	Partially	-
Project-based learning	-	+	Partially	+
Inquiry-based	+	+	Yes, in the	+
learning			practical part	
Collaborative learning	No data	+	NO	+
Game-based learning	No data	-	NO	-
Criterion H: Assessmen	t			
Exams (how many,	2 times per semester (a	No data	1 (practical +	1 st midterm
oral/written/test-	two-hour examination		oral)	written exam
like)	requiring two answers			2 nd midterm oral
	from four questions).			exam
	Requirements for a			Final oral exam
	pass: A mark of 40% overall.			
Testing (how often)	By examination in	No data	No	2 times per
resting (now enterly	August/September	110 data		semester
Grade computation	Written exam-70	No data	N/A	Contribution of
(contribution of each	Written assignment	110 4404		each course
course activity to the	including essay-30			activity (100%):
final grade,	including costly 50			Component 1 -
availability of extra				Attendance, up to
credits)				2 points (10%)
				Component 2- 1st
				exam, up to 4
				points (20%)
				Component 3 -
				2 nd exam, up to 4
				points (20%) Component 4 -
				final exam, up to
				10 points (50%)
Criterion I: Teaching		l		
resources				
Teaching hours	There will be two 50-	No data	30-18	24
	minute lectures each			
	week; these will			
	involve the use of			
	video material where			
	appropriate and small-			
	group discussions.			
	Students will acquire additional information			
	from directed reading			
	allied to the lecture			
I	to the feetale	I	1	ı l

	material.			
Preparatory hours	No data	No data	N/A	0
Teaching assistants	No data	No data	4	0
(grading / tutorials)				
Labs and field	-	-	3	8
Criterion J : Use of profe	l ssional tools			
Name of the tool(s)	No data	University has	Gas analyser,	All necessary
used (lab		an ideal urban	•	equipment,
devices/systems,		laboratory to	.,	especially for
software solutions,		develop and		determination
etc.)		engage in		organic and
,		collaborative		inorganic
		projects and let		compounds,
		the students to		trace elements
		develop as		etc, which helps
		spatially-		us to carry out
		sensitive global		multidisciplinar
		citizens and		y investigation
		encourage them		in urban
		to be reflective		ecology.
		and critical		
		thinkers.		
Supported activities	Students will be			Professional
(tutorials, home work)	expected to read papers			literature, some
	and other literature			laws connected
	indicated by the			to plants, soils,
	lecturer. The course			air, water etc
	textbook will be:			
	Urban Ecology by K.J.			
	Gaston. Students will			
	be advised of the			
	appropriateness of			
	web-based articles.			
Overall role of the	No data	Graduate		The role of tools
tool (essential		students		application is
instrument that must		innovative		developing
be learnt or one way		teaching and		abilities to
to help learn the rest		learning		choose sampling
the material easier)		methodologies		methods and
		in the online		sampling design,
		and face-to-		to perform
		face		analytical
		environment.		method
		As well as		selection,
		small-group		statistical
		seminars,		analysis of data
		project-based		

		learning and teamwork, fieldwork is a core element of the MSc Urban Environment programme.		obtained.
Criterion K: Use of				
TEL-systems Name and type of the tool used (if any)	No data	No data	No data	Not applicable
Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation)	Students will be expected to read papers and other literature indicated by the lecturer. The course textbook will be: Urban Ecology by K.J. Gaston. Students will be advised of the appropriateness of web-based articles.	No data	No data	Assessment of homework Providing literature Exam preparation
Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool)	Mandatory	Mandatory	No data	Mandatory
Criterion L: Course stat	istics		1	
Average number of students enrolled in the course	No data	No data	18	7
Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course	No data	No data	18	88%
Average grades distribution	No available data	No available data	26/30	15.7
Percentage of international students	Not available	No available	16	0
Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.)	Not available	Not available	N/A	Male: 18%; Female: 82% Nationality: Armenian (100%) Scholarships—

	NT	N		6%
Average rating of the course by students	Not available	Not available		4.4
Criterion M: Course cor	npetency profile			
Criterion M: Course con Outcome competencies of the course (computing- related must learn in it)	By the end of the course, students will be expected to be able to: 1.Describe and discuss the history of urban areas as a form of human habitation, and how these are likely to change in the future 2. State and describe the variation in the definition of "urban areas", and how this may impact the synthesis of urban ecology studies 3. Describe and discuss patterns of variation in the structure of urban areas, including in the context of socioeconomic divisions 4. Compare and contrast the impact of urbanization on the distribution, density and community structure of different taxonomic groups including mammals, birds and invertebrates 5. Describe the role of human migration as a mechanism for the "global homogenization" of urban flora and fauna 6. Describe and discuss traits which appear to allow certain species to persist within urban areas, with particular	1. Focused on integrating technical skills (e.g. GIS) with fieldwork and lectures to provide a comprehensive understanding of dynamic urban environments. 2. International perspective on global cities but uses Dublin (a multi-cultural city that is undergoing transformation) as a testbed for exploring urban environments. 3. Draws upon expertise at UCD across a range of disciplines. Provides freedom to specialise in areas of interest.	Defining proper strategies and plans to improve the resilience of the urban areas using green infrastructure, also in a context of climate changes - design phytotechnological systems to improve soil and water quality in polluted areas - definin g monitoring plans to support the management of green infrastructures	The students will learn how to -assess ecological status of all constituents of urban ecosystem: sociosphere, biosphere, lithosphere, hydrosphere, atmosphere; - generate and bring forward scientifically sound decisions regarding mitigation of manmade effects on natural environment and improvement of ecological situation in cities; - conduct the ecological expertise, -develop technologies for protection and recovery of urban ecosystems.

1
reference to birds
7. Describe and discuss
how the urban
landscape has led to
modifications in
selected behavioural
traits within some
species
8. Compare and
contrast the ecology of
cats and dogs both
within urban areas and
in the wider landscape.
9. State and discuss the
potential impacts of
roads on the ecology of
a range of taxonomic
groups 10. Describe and
discuss the role of
urban wildlife in the
transmission of
diseases to humans and
companion animals,
including commensal
rodents and carnivores
11. Describe and
discuss the range of
nuisance conflicts
arising between
humans and urban
wildlife and how these
are managed at
present, and how they
may be managed in the
future
12.Describe and
discuss evidence for
and against the impact
of wildlife and natural
landscapes within
urbanized areas on
human health and
well-being, and how
this may be managed for the benefit of
society
13.Discuss how urban

and benefits of these different approaches 14. Discuss how continuing patterns of global urbanization are likely to impact global biodiversity Prerequisite competencies of the course (what a student must know before taking it) An adequate Bachelor's degree with a minimum grade must must know before taking it) An adequate Bachelor's degree with a minimum of an honours admission to this Master's degree program Aster's degree program An adequate Bachelor's degree or international equivalent at bachelors level. Relevant professional or voluntary experience may be considered as a part of the application process. Applicants whose first language is not English must also demonstrate English language proficiency of IELTS 6.5 (no band less than 6.0 in each	different approaches 14. Discuss how continuing patterns of global urbanization are likely to impact global biodiversity Prerequisite competencies of the course (what a student must know before taking it) different approaches 14. Discuss how continuing patterns of global urbanization are likely to impact global biodiversity Applicants should have a minimum of an honours degree or Master's degree international	Geography, or related Natural and Earth Sciences, as well as physics,
---	---	---

	element),	or	
	equivalent		

The comparative analysis of syllabi taught in University of Reading, College Dublin University, University of Tuscia and ISEC allows making the following conclusions: Program profiles for University of Reading, College Dublin, University of Tuscia and ISEC are different in statistical parameters (overall number of students; number of environmental protection related disciplines, etc.)

- ➤ Course settings: there are some similar and different points, which, in terms of content, are not significant. The number of students is similar to that of University of Tuscia (18) and ISEC (13). In University of Tuscia, the course name is Ecology of Urban Environment/Applied Ecology in Urban Environment and only this course is selective.
- ➤ Teaching aspects: pedagogical methods are perfect in comparison to universities especially ISEC and Dublin College University which are very similar as they are research-based. Criterion G (Pedagogy) matches perfectly. Criterion H (Assessment) differs due to different number of granted credits and teaching hours. Criterion I (Teaching resources) is incomparable partially since the relevant information is not available but teaching hours are very similar (University of Tuscia: 30-18 hours) (ISEC: 24 hours) and labs and field works (University of Tuscia: 3 hours) (ISEC: 8 hours).
- ➤ Use of technology matches only for Dublin College University, University of Tuscia and ISEC, which are very important for teaching (Criterion J, K).
- ➤ Course statistics (Criterion L) for Reading and Dublin universities are not available, but could be compared between University of Tuscia (average number of student enrolled in the course: 18; average percentage of students successfully finishing the course: 18; average grades distribution: 26/30) and ISEC (average number of student enrolled in the course: 7; average percentage of students successfully finishing the course: 88%; average grades distribution: 15.7).
 - ➤ Course content (Criterion M) matches partially. Smaller number of teaching hours is alloted to each environmental compartment at ISEC as compared to University of Reading and Dublin College University. There are some similarities connected to green infrastructure parts in the course of University of Tuscia (Ecology of Urban Environment/Applied Ecology in Urban Environment) between of ISEC Urban ecology course, which is not in other EU universities.

To conclude, this course comparative analyzes the overall goal and main outcomes, teaching aspects, and other features of "Urban Ecology" syllabus harmonized with other similar syllabi taught at leading European universities. The differences are as follows: at the University of Reading more attention is paid to biological aspects but the main orientation at Dublin College University is a geographical aspect, while the course offered by University of Tuscia also refers to the forestry. As for ISEC, the studied subject called

"Urban Ecology" has ecological characters. Consequently, course load, applied tools, teaching resources, ECTS and so on are specific to the university and country as well.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND MEASUREMENT DEVICES

Course Comparative Analysis

The information collected during the visits to EU partner universities for the comparative analysis of syllabi allows identifying the most relevant topics in the courses similar to "Environmental Monitoring and Measurement Devices" taught at ISEC which should be modernized.

During the visits, Dr. Chiara Baldacchini from University of Tuscia (UNITUS) presented a lecture on "Air Quality Monitoring" course and Dr. Fernando P. Carvalho from the Instituto Superior Técnico / Campus Tecnológico Nuclear of the Universidade de Lisboa (ULISBOA) delivered a lecture on "Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring" course offered by their universities. The presentation by Dr. Chiara Baldacchini provided details on:

- Proposed subsection on Air Quality Monitoring and Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring
- Experimental approaches and related techniques
- Possible integrated laboratory activity
- Proposed ECTS
- Proposed bibliography

Although this information will be considered for the modernization of the syllabus at ISEC, it is not considered in the table below with the comparative analysis of several courses because "Air Quality Monitoring" is not taught at UNITUS. The comparative analysis of "Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring" presented by Dr. Fernando P. Carvalho (ULISBOA) and "Environmental Monitoring and Measurement Devices" (ISEC) is considered in the table below because of highly specialized content of "Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring" syllabus. Nevertheless, this information will be used for the development of other syllabus, "Environmental Radiation Protection", within MENVIPRO project.

For the purpose of the comparative analysis, we compiled data on the syllabus of "Environmental Monitoring" (https://fenix.ciencias.ulisboa.pt/degrees/ecologia-e-gestao-ambiental-564500436615250/disciplina-syllabir/564680825254546) taught in ULISBOA and compared with "Environmental Monitoring" module taught in Northumbria University Newcastle (UK) and with "Environmental Monitoring Strategies" module taught in University of Trier (Germany), and the relevant ISEC course in Armenia. The information was retrieved from the official websites of the aforementioned universities. Both collaborators, Dr. Baldacchini and Dr. Carvalho, approved the selected modules as relevant based on the data available on the official websites of Northumbria and Trier universities.

Ranking of Northumbria University Newcastle is available at https://www.timeshighereducation.com/world-university-rankings/northumbria-university

The detailed description of "Environmental Monitoring" module of "Environmental Monitoring, Modelling and Reconstruction MSc", Northumbria University Newcastle is available at https://www.northumbria.ac.uk/study-at-northumbria/courses/environmental-monitoring/

Ranking of Trier University is available at https://www.timeshighereducation.com/world-university-rankings/trier-university

The detailed description of "Environmental Monitoring Strategies" module of MSc Environmental Sciences, Trier University, Germany, is available on <a href="https://www.unitrier.de/fileadmin/fb6/fb6/studium/Modulhandbuecher/4_UNI_TR_FB_6_Modulhandbucher/4_UNI_TR_FB_6_Modulhand

Additional details about the study processes and courses are provided in the table below.

European example	ULISBOA	Northumbria	Trier University	ISEC course to be
from		University		modernized in
		Newcastle		Armenia
University/Program Pro	ofile		1	
Criterion A:				
University Profile				
Classic or applied	Both	Both	Both	Both (Research
				University)
Overall number of	49769	26675	13331	800
students				
Number of	5	6	5	2
Environment				
protection related				
disciplines				
Number of	No data available	Not available	1662	13
Environment				
protection students				
Criterion B:				
Program/discipline				
profile				
Theoretical or applied	Both (Theoretical	Both (Theoretical	Both (Theoretical	Both (Theoretical
	+ Applied)	+ Applied)	+ Applied)	+ Applied)
Number of students	Not available	Not available	Not available	13
Role/part of the	The context of	In this module	Man changes the	The overall goal
selected course(s) in	environmental	you will learn	environment and	of the syllabus is

the study program	monitoring.	how to plan and	the environment	to develop
	Anthropogenic	implement	changes	students'
	impacts and their	measurements to	people. Exploring	knowledge about
	measurement.	assess the status	and	modern
	Monitoring	of the natural	understanding	environmental
	efforts at global,	environment.	the relationships	monitoring
	national and local	You will learn	between the two	programs, main
	scales. Legal	how to develop	systems is at the	analytical
	framework for	appropriate	heart of the	methods,
	environmental	sampling	geography,	familiarize with
	monitoring.	strategies and	environmental	some field and
	Types of	how to conduct a	bioscience, and	laboratory
	monitoring and	wide range of		devices and
	its specificities.	methods	geoscientific	develop the
	Selection of	spanning	study programs	ability to assess
	ecological	chemical,	offered by the	environmental
	indicators.	physical and	Department of	pollution levels.
	Defining metrics	biological to gain	Spatial and	politicion ievels.
	and reference	a thorough	Environmental	
	states. Temporal	understanding of	Sciences. Especial	
	and spatial	the environment.	ly in times of	
	distribution of	You will develop	climate change	
	the sampling	a broad range of	and scarcer	
	effort. Design of	highly	resources and the	
	sampling	employable skills	associated	
	networks.	in collection,	changes in living	
	Selection of	modelling and	conditions and	
	points of impact	analysis of	societies, these	
	and control.	environmental	disciplines are	
	Sampling	and socially	_	
	methodologies.	important data-	correspondingly	
	Organization and	sets.	interesting.	
	quality control of	sets.	interesting.	
	data.			
	Development of			
	databases and			
	their			
	management.			
	, and the second			
	Statistical analysis of monitoring			
	data. Detection			
	impacts through BACI (Before-			
	After-Control-			
	Impact)			
	approaches.			
	Analysis of time			
	series data.			

	Production of technical and non-technical reports. Monitoring opportunities for the advancement of scientific knowledge. Analysis of case studies.			
Course Settings				
Criterion C: Course type Bachelor or master level	Master Level	Master Level	Master Level	Master Level
Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	1/1	1/1,2	2/1	1/1
Selective or mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Optional / Mandatory	Mandatory
Theoretical / applied	Both	Both	Both	Both
Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses	To apply for the course, students must have completed their undergraduate degree or legal equivalent, preferably in Biology, or related areas	A minimum of a 2:2 honours degree or equivalent in relevant subjects including geography, natural and earth sciences, as well as physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, mathematics, or computing-related subjects. Applicants with professional or voluntary experience will also be considered.	An adequate Bachelor's degree with a minimum grade must be proven for admission to this Master's degree program	BSc in Biology, Geography, or related Natural and Earth Sciences, as well as physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, mathematics, or computing- related subjects

Outcome courses	No data	No data	No data	Environmental Geochemstry; Environmental Statistics; Urban Ecology.
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	No data	No data	No data	None
Criterion E: Department teaching a course Non-graduating / Graduating / Other	Graduating	Postgraduate	Graduating	Graduating
Criterion F: Course				
load Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations	6 ECTS (180 hours)	20 ECTS (20 hours per 1 ECTS)	5 ECTS (150 hours)	3 ECTS (90 hours)
Number of credits associated with particular course activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Lectures – 1 ECTS Practical and self- study – 2 ECTS
Teaching aspects				
Criterion G: Pedagogy				
Traditional place- based learning	+	+	+	+
Blended learning	No data	No data	No data	+
Flipped classroom	No data	No data	No data	_
MOOC	_	_	_	_
Project-based learning	+	+	+	+
Inquiry-based learning	+	+	+	+
Collaborative learning	+	+	No data	+
Game-based learning	No data	No data	No data	_
Criterion H: Assessment				
Exams (how many,	1 final oral exam	Two oral exams	Advanced	1 st midterm
oral/written/test-		during two	examination	written exam
like)		semesters	effort: term paper	2 nd midterm oral

			Final module	exam
			examination: oral examination (20 minutes)	Final oral exam
Testing (how often) Grade computation	No data	No data	No data Without	2 times per semester Contribution of
(contribution of each course activity to the final grade, availability of extra credits)	Not available	INOL available	proportional weighting in the final grade (5/120)	each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points (50%)
Criterion I: Teaching				-
resources				
Teaching hours	180	100	90	24
Preparatory hours	No data	Not available	No data	0
Teaching assistants (grading / tutorials)	No data	20	No data	0
Labs and field	No data	80	40	8
Use of technology				
Criterion J: Use of professional tools				
Name of the tool(s) used (lab devices/systems, software solutions, etc.)	No data available	Delta V Advantage Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer Flash 2000 Elemental Analyser Perkin Elemer Optima 8000 Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Mass Spectrometer	Laboratory of Institute for BioGeoAnalytics, Environmental Sample and Biobanks - IBU	Portable aspirator Portable XRF analyser Innov X- 5000 Portable RAD 7 radon detector Horiba U-10 water quality checker SEM/EDX Gamma-ray spectrometry system GC/MS

		Los Gatos Ultraportable Grennhouse Gas Analyser High Precision Micromill Centrifuges Refrigeration and Fume Hoods High Performance Computing (HPC) cluster, Small Unmanned Aerial Systems (sUAS).		MS Office IBM SPSS Visual Sampling Plan
Supported activities (tutorials, home works)	No data	No data	No data	Professional literature, Instructions
Overall role of the tool (essential instrument that must be learnt or one way to help learn the rest the material easier)	No data	Students spend much of your time in our Palaeo and Environmental Research Laboratories, where Northumbria's ongoing investment in STEM facilities has created world-leading resources for collaborative research. Perform analyses in our Stable Isotope Laboratory, analyse 'big data' using climate and ice-flow models on our High Performance Computing (HPC) cluster, and monitor	No data	The role of tools application is developing abilities to choose sampling methods and sampling design, to perform analytical method selection, statistical analysis of the obtained data.

Criterion K: Use of Criterion K: Criterion C: Criterion C: Criterion C: Criterion C: Criterion K: Criterion C: Criterion K: Criterion C: Criterion K: Criterion C: Criterion K: Criterion K: Criterion C: Criterion		I	previously	1	
Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems No data Assessment of homework Providing literature Exam preparation) Exam preparation Mandatory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional Mandatory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Optional			- •		
Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems No data Assessment of homework Providing literature Exam preparation) Exam preparation Mandatory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional Mandatory Optional/Mandat Ory Optional/Mandat Optional			landscapes with		
Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems Name and type of the tool used (if any) Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student Overall student General students Overal student General students Overall student General student General students Overall student General student Overall student General student General student Overall st			=		
Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems Name and type of the tool used (if any) Supported activity (acsessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Criterion L: Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student Coverall student demographics (gender, age, mationality; scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course to students Course content Criterion M: Course station No data No data No data No data No available No available No available Not available			Aerial Systems		
TEL-systems Name and type of the tool used (if any) Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students successfully finishing the course Average grades of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course (Mondatory) No data No available data Not available			(sUAS).		
Name and type of the tool used (if any) Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average grades Average grades Average grades of international students No available data No available data who available data distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course to the tool was a students of the course of the course of the tool was a students of the course of the tool	Criterion K: Use of				
tool used (if any) Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / faily optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Average rating of the course (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Average rating of the course (pender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Course content Criterion M: Course (statistics) No data No data No available Not available	TEL-systems				
Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Not available Not available Not available	Name and type of the	No data	No data	No data	Not applicable
(assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student Average frating of the course Not available	tool used (if any)				
works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student Not available data Not available	Supported activity	No data	No data	No data	Assessment of
preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of intermational students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Average rating of the course Not available Not available Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course Criterion M: Course Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Available ata ory No available data No available data No available data No available data No available of Not available Not available Not available A.4 Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	(assessment, home				homework
Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Available data ory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Optional/Mandat ory Mandatory Mandato	works, exam				Providing
Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Average rating of the course Not available who available at a not available who availab	preparation)				literature
(mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Not available Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course Criterion M: Course					Exam preparation
component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course Available No available No available No available Not available Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	Role on the course	Mandatory	Mandatory	Optional/Mandat	Mandatory
credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Not available in the vourse in the course in the cour	(mandatory			ory	
fully optional supplementary tool) Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics No available data No available data Planned Group Size: 15 7 Average number of students enrolled in the course No data No data No data No data No data No data 88% Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course No available data No available 0 Percentage of international students No available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Alee: 18%; Female: 82% Nationality: Armenian (100%) Scholarships, etc.) Scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Not available Not available Not available Not available A.4 Course content Criterion M: Course A.2 A.2 A.2 A.2 A.3	component / extra				
Course statistics Criterion L: Course statistics No available data No available data Planned Group Size: 15	credit opportunity/				
Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Not available Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	fully optional				
Criterion L: Course statistics Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course Not available	supplementary tool)				
statistics A verage number of students enrolled in the course A verage percentage of students successfully finishing the course A verage grades distribution Percentage of international students Course ty students A verage, nationality, scholarships, etc.) A verage rating of the course Course content Criterion M: Course	Course statistics				
Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course No available data No available Not available Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course					
students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course No available Not available Armenian (100%) Scholarships - 6% Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	statistics				
the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course No available data No available Not available Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	Average number of	No available data	No available data	Planned Group	7
Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Course content Criterion M: Course No data No available data O Average grades Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Average rating of the course by students Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available	students enrolled in			Size: 15	
students successfully finishing the course No available data No available data 15.7 Average grades distribution No available data Not available data 15.7 Percentage of international students No available data Not available Not available Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Not available Not available Not available Average rating of the course by students Not available Not available Not available Not available Course content	the course				
finishing the course Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Criterion M: Course No available data No available data Not available	0 2	No data	No data	No data	88%
Average grades distribution Percentage of international students Not available	•				
distribution Percentage of international students Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available Not available	finishing the course				
Overall student Not available Not available Not available Male: 18%; demographics Female: 82% (gender, age, Nationality: nationality, Armenian (100%) scholarships, etc.) Scholarships – 6% Average rating of the course by students Not available Course content Criterion M: Course	= =	No available data	No available data	No available data	15.7
Overall student Not available Not available Not available Male: 18%; demographics Female: 82% (gender, age, Nationality: nationality, Armenian (100%) scholarships, etc.) Scholarships – 6% Average rating of the course by students Not available Course content Criterion M: Course	Percentage of	No available data	Not available	Not available	0
demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Criterion M: Course	· ·				
(gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Criterion M: Course	Overall student	Not available	Not available	Not available	Male: 18%;
nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Criterion M: Course	demographics				Female: 82%
nationality, scholarships, etc.) Average rating of the course by students Criterion M: Course	(gender, age,				Nationality:
Average rating of the course by students Not available Not available Not available Not available Volume content Criterion M: Course	nationality,				,
Course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	scholarships, etc.)				
Course by students Course content Criterion M: Course	Average rating of the	Not available	Not available	Not available	-
Criterion M: Course					
	Course content	<u> </u>	1	1	1
competency profile	Criterion M: Course				
	competency profile				

Outcome competencies of the course (computingrelated must learn in it)

The course provides the concepts and methodological tools necessary for the development and of review environmental monitoring programs. It is intended that students develop solid understanding of literature and the scientific basis for environmental monitoring, contact with their practices processes of postimpact assessment, assessment of mitigation and compensation for impacts applications and monitoring of environmental restoration activities, among In others. this context, the course aims to give students the ability (i) to develop monitoring programs (e.g, selection of indicators, design of networks and definition of sampling effort), (ii) implement

and

coordinate

Knowledge
Understanding:

- MLO1: critically evaluate and apply appropriate monitoring types and be aware of the uncertainties associated with them.
 - MLO2: demonstrate how monitoring approaches are used to gain detailed understanding environmental processes and changes. Intellectual Professional skills & abilities:
- MLO3: select appropriate monitoring strategies to assess diverse environmental challenges and questions.
- MLO4:
 demonstrate
 ability to setup, collect and
 interpret
 monitoring
 datasets.
 Personal
 Values
 Attributes
 (Global /

The overall aims of the module are:

- •to provide a grounding in ecological research techniques both in the field and laboratory
- •to explain and evaluate the terminology, theoretical principles and practical limitations of air, water and soil pollution monitoring and control systems •to explain
- monitoring/co ntrol techniques and strategies for air, water and soil pollutants

•to assess the

- roles of local,
 national and
 international
 agencies with
 respect to the
 management
 of air, water
 and soil
 quality.
- •to provide transferable skills in team work and individual skills in data collection and

The students will learn:

- Armenian and international standards applicable in the environmental monitoring system
- monitoring
 features of
 different
 environmental
 compartments,
- main and emerging pollutants and controlled processes;
- key analytical methods and their limitations.

 Students will

Students will learn how to:

- choose sampling method and sampling design,
- collect
 environmental
 sample and
 quality
 assurance of
 field activity,
- perform in situ measurements,
- choose the relevant analytical method,
- perform statistical treatment of data,

	the implementation of these programs, (iii) collect and analyze the data, and (iv) disseminate and publish the results.	Cultural awareness, Ethics, Curiosity) (PVA): • MLO5: effective communicatio n of the results of monitoring in a consultancy- style report to clearly communicate results and develop recommendati ons based on findings.	data analysis	• assess the level of pollution.
Prerequisite competencies of the course (what a student must know before taking it)	To apply for the course, students must have completed their undergraduate degree or legal equivalent, preferably in Biology, or related areas	A minimum of a 2:2 honours degree or equivalent in relevant subjects including geography, natural and earth sciences, as well as physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, mathematics, or computing- related subjects. Applicants with professional or voluntary experience will also be considered.	An adequate Bachelor's degreewith a minimum grade must be proven for admission to this Master's degree program	BSc in Biology, Geography, or related Natural and Earth Sciences, as well as physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, mathematics, or computing- related subjects

The comparative analysis of the syllabi taught in ULisboa, Northumbria University Newcastle, Trier University, and ISEC allows arriving at the following conclusions:

- 1. Program Profiles for ULisboa Northumbria University Newcastle, Trier University and ISEC are different in statistical parameters (overall number of students; number of environmental protection related disciplines, etc.)
- 2. Course settings (Criterion C-F) perfectly match among these three universities.
- 3. Teaching aspects: Criterion G (Pedagogy) matches perfectly. Criterion H (Assessment) differs due to different number of granted credits and teaching hours. Criterion I (Teaching resources) is incomparable since the relevant information is not available.
- 4. Use of technology matches partially (Criterion J, K). Particularly some devices that are used in Nortumbria University are not available at ISEC. The data on ULisboa, Laboratory of Institute for BioGeoAnalytics, Environmental Sample and Biobanks of Trier University courses are not sufficient for comparison.
- 5. Course statistics (Criterion L) for European universities are not available and the comparison is not applicable.
- 6. Course content (Criterion M) matches partially. We note that smaller number of teaching hours is allocated to each environmental compartment at ISEC as compared to Northumbria University Newcastle and Trier University.
- 7. The modernized syllabus will include project-based learning related to air quality assessment by different methods: SEM (to be obtained within the MENVIPRO) and aspiration proposed by Dr. Baldacchini (UNITUS).

With this analysis, the overall goal and main outcomes, teaching aspects, and other features of syllabus "Environmental Monitoring and Measurement Devices" can be harmonized with similar syllabi taught in leading European universities. It is noted that differences in the content of courses are based on the demand for environmentalists' skills in different countries. Consequently, course load, applied tools, teaching resources, ECTS, etc. are specific to the university and country as well.

ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION PROTECTION

Course Comparative Analysis

The information collected during the visits to EU partner Universities for the development of syllabi is used at the present stage in the comparative analysis presented herein. This comparative analysis aims at identifying topics in similar courses that may be relevant to "Environmental Radiation Protection" course to be newly developed at ISEC. During the visits to EU partner Universities plenty of information was made available. Particularly in Lisbon, Dr. Fernando P. Carvalho from the Instituto Superior Técnico / Campus Tecnológico Nuclear of the Universidade de Lisboa (ULISBOA) presented the course entitled "Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring" proposed by ULISBOA. This presentation included details on:

- course requirements and description,
- proposed program,
- case studies,
- proposed field activity,
- proposed laboratory equipment and experiment,
- proposed ESTC,
- required bibliography.

Although "Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring" lecture covered only a part of "Environmental Radiation Protection" syllabus aimed for Armenia, it will be useful for the development of "Environmental Radiation Protection" course within MENVIPRO project.

In order to make a more detailed analysis of the modernized syllabus, the comparison analysis with "Environmental Radiation" syllabus provided by Técnico Lisboa – ULISBOA (Portugal) (available on: https://fenix.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/cursos/mpsr/disciplina-syllabir/283003985068208) and "Experimental Radioecology" module provided by University of Oslo (Norway) was performed. The information was taken from official websites of the aforementioned universities. Mentioned syllabi were selected jointly with Dr. Carvalho as the most relevant to the newly developed syllabus for "Environmental Radiation Protection" course.

Ranking of the University of Oslo is available at https://www.timeshighereducation.com/world-university-rankings/norwegian-university-life-sciences

The detailed description of "Radiation Protection and Radiation Safety" module, University of Oslo, Norway, is available at https://www.uio.no/studier/emner/matnat/fys/nedlagte-emner/FYS-KJM9570/index.html

European example from	Técnico Lisboa, ULISBOA	University of Oslo	ISEC newly developed syllabus in Armenia
TT 1 1 7 D D C1	ULISBOA		syllabus ili Armenia
University/Program Profile			
Criterion A: University Profil Classic or applied	e Both	Both	Both (Research
			University)
Overall number of students	49769	28007 (2017)	800
Number of Environment protection related disciplines	5	3	2
Number of Environment protection students	No data available	No data available	12
Criterion B: Program/disciplin	ne profile	L	L
Theoretical or applied	Both	Both	Both
Number of students	No available data	No available data	13
Role/part of the selected	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
course(s) in the study program	Radiation Protection and Security addresses a set of multidisciplinary, cutting-edge topics across a range of scientific and technological domains. Ionizing radiation (IR) has applications in various sectors such as Health, Industry, Environment, Research, Services, Security and Energy, in which aspects of Radiological Protection can not be neglected.	Interaction of ionizing radiation with matter — with emphasize on biological systems and radiological protection. External and internal dosimetry. Dosimetric measurement techniques in radiation protection. Stochastic effects of ionizing radiation from high doses and high dose rates to low doses and low dose rates for both high and low LET radiation. Risk models and assessment of health risks from exposure to ionizing radiation based on radiobiological and epidemiological knowledge. Deterministic effects of ionizing radiation. Health hazards of exposure to non-ionizing radiation and	The purpose of this particular syllabus is to familiarize students with the environmental distribution and sources of natural and artificial radionuclides, their measurement and identification, uptake and transfer through food chains, effects of radiation on human being and other organisms, environmental radiation protection standards.

electromagnetic fields. Evolution of the radiation protection system - practices and intervention related to medical and industrial use of radiation sources, contamination of the environments, etc. Quantities in radiological protection. Dose constraints and derived limits. Radiation sources - natural and man-made sources. Shielding of radiation sources and protective measures. Regulatory aspects radiation protection regulations, recommendations standards. International conventions and recommendations. Natural and man-made sources of radioactivity in the atmospheric, terrestrial and marine environment. Release of radioactivity to environment - doses and consequences for man and the environment; fauna and flora included. Remedial measures and preventative measures to limit exposure consequences for man and environment. Radiation safety related to ionizing radiation sources and nuclear facilities. Consequences of fallout from nuclear accidents and use of nuclear weapons. Nuclear safeguards and security. Nuclear

Course Settings		accident preparedness. Management of radioactive waste.	
Criterion C: Course type			
Bachelor or master level Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	Master Level 1/1	Postgraduate 1/1,2	Master Level 1/1
Selective or mandatory	Mandatory	Optional/Mandatory	Mandatory
Theoretical / applied	Both	Both	Both
Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program			
Prerequisite courses	Biology, Biology, Biotechnology, Biotechnology, Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, Environmental Sciences, Environmental Engineering, Radiology, Nuclear Medicine or Radiotherapy; undergraduate courses in Physics, Physics Engineering, Superiors of Health Technologies, or related scientific areas.	Bachelor degree in physics or chemistry. Some background knowledge about radioactivity and radiation	BSc in Biology, Geography, or related Natural and Earth Sciences, as well as physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, mathematics, or computing-related subjects
Outcome courses	No data	No data	Environmental Geochemstry; Environmental Statistics; Urban Ecology.
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	No data	No data	None
Criterion E: Department teaching a course Non-graduating / Graduating / Other	Graduating	Postgraduate	Graduating

Criterion F: Course load			
Overall number of credits	6 ECTS (180 hours)	10 ECTS (100 hours)	3 ECTS (90 hours)
according to ECTS			
regulations			
Number of credits	No data available	No data available	Lectures – 1 ECTS
associated with particular			Practical and self-study
course activities (lectures /			- 2 ECTS
tutorials / practical work /			
homework / etc.)			
Teaching aspects			
Criterion G: Pedagogy			
Traditional place-based	+	+	+
learning			
Blended learning	No data available	No data available	+
Flipped classroom	No data available	No data available	_
MOOC	_	-	_
Project-based learning	+	+	+
Inquiry-based learning	+	+	+
Collaborative learning	+	+	+
Game-based learning	No data available	No data available	_
Criterion H: Assessment	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Exams (how many, oral /	1 final oral exam	1 final oral exam	1st midterm written
written / test-like)			exam
			2nd midterm, oral exam
			Final oral exam
Testing (how often)	No data available	No data available	2 times per semester
Grade computation	No data available	Letter grades	Contribution of each
(contribution of each course		The grading scale with	course activity (100%):
activity to the final grade,		letter values is a	Component 1 -
availability of extra credits)		descending scale where A	Attendance, up to 2
		is the best grade, E the	points (10%)
		lowest pass grade and F is	Component 2- 1st exam,
		fail. The assessment is	up to 4 points (20%)
		based on defined,	Component 3 - 2 nd exam,
		qualitative criteria for	up to 4 points (20%)
		each grade in the grading scale.	Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points
		A – Excellent	(50%)
		An excellent	1-2.5
		performance, clearly	
		outstanding. The	
		candidate demonstrates	
		excellent judgement and a	
		high degree of	

	independent thinking. B – Very good A very good performance. The candidate	
	demonstrates sound judgement and a very good degree of	
	independent thinking. C – Good A good performance in most areas. The candidate	
	demonstrates a reasonable degree of judgement and independent thinking in	
	the most important areas. D – Satisfactory A satisfactory	
	performance, but with significant shortcomings. The candidate demonstrates a limited	
	degree of judgement and independent thinking. E – Sufficient	
	A performance that meets the minimum criteria, but no more. The candidate demonstrates a very	
	limited degree of judgement and independent thinking.	
	F – Fail A performance that does not meet the minimum	
	academic criteria. The candidate demonstrates an absence of both judgement and	
es	independent thinking.	
56	80	24
No data available	No data available	0
No data available	No data available	0
14	20	8

Criterion I: Teaching resources

Teaching assistants (grading

Teaching hours

/ tutorials) Labs and field

Preparatory hours

Use of technology

Criterion J: Use of professiona	l tools		
Name of the tool(s) used	Facilities of	Facilities of Department	Automess 6150 AD 6/H
(lab devices/systems,	Tecnológico e Nuclear	of Physics	InSpector 1000
software solutions, etc.)	Campus		Portable RAD 7 radon
			detector
			Gamma-ray
			spectrometry system
			MS Office
			IBM SPSS
			Visual Sampling Plan
Supported activities	Excursions	No data available	1 0
Supported activities (tutorials, home works)	Excursions	No data avallable	Professional literature,
			Instructions
Overall role of the tool	No data available	No data available	The role of tools
(essential instrument that must be learnt or one way			application is developing abilities to
to help learn the rest the			choose sampling
material easier)			methods and sampling
,			design, to perform
			analytical method
			selection, statistical
			analysis of data
			obtained.
Criterion K : Use of TEL-syste:	ms		
Name and type of the tool	No data available	No data available	Not applicable
used (if any)			
Supported activity	No data available	No data available	Not applicable
(assessment, home works,			
exam preparation)			
Role on the course	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
(mandatory component /			
extra credit opportunity / fully optional			
supplementary tool)			
Course statistics			
Criterion L: Course statistics			
Average number of students	No data available	No data available	Not applicable
enrolled in the course	Two data available	Tvo data available	Not applicable
Average percentage of	No data available	No data available	Not applicable
students successfully			
finishing the course			
Average grades distribution		No data available	NT . 1' 11
	No data available	No data avallable	Not applicable
Percentage of international students	No data available No data available	No data available	Not applicable Not applicable

Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships,	No data available	No data available	Not applicable
etc.) Average rating of the course by students	No data available	No data available	Not applicable
Course content	I		
Criterion M: Course competer	ncy profile		
Outcome competencies of the course (computing-related must learn in it)	To develop and to strengthen the students' knowledge regarding environmental radioactivity resulting from existing exposure, planned exposure and emergency exposure situations. Get the students acquainted with concepts and methodologies related to the monitoring and assessment of the radioactivity in the environment, as well as with the capabilities and limitations of the different measurement techniques. To present the potential applications of radioactivity in environmental sciences, namely the use of natural and anthropogenic radionuclides as tracers of complex environmental processes	To understand the basis for radiation protection and radiation safety, including the health effects and risks associated with radiation exposure, so that the candidate will be able to make his/her own independent judgements of risks, protective measures, etc. and acquire the necessary background knowledge to fill a position as health physicist.	The students will acquire knowledge of: > sources of radiation in the environment, > distribution of natural and artificial radionuclides, > transport and transfer of radionuclides in the environment, > principles of environmental impact and risk assessment > radiation protection standards in case of emergency situations.
Prerequisite competencies of the course (what a student must know before taking it)			BSc in Biology, Geography, or related Natural and Earth Sciences, as well as

	physics,	chemistry,
	biology,	engineering,
	mathematic	es, or
	computing-	related
	subjects	

The comparative analysis of the syllabi taught in ULISBOA, University of Oslo, and the newly developed syllabus at ISEC allows arriving at the following conclusions:

- 1. Program Profiles for ULisboa University of Oslo and ISEC are different in statistical parameters (overall number of students; number of environmental protection related disciplines, etc.)
- 2. Course Settings (Criterion C-F) matches partially. The most profound program is proposed by the University of Oslo at the postgraduate level with more attention paid to the biological effects of ionizing radiation. However, the main topics and their order match perfectly in case of all examined syllabi.
- 3. Teaching aspects Criterion G (Pedagogy) matches perfectly. Criterion H (Assessment) differs due to different number of granted credits and teaching hours and the level of education, as well. Criterion I (Teaching resources) is incomparable since the relevant information is not available.
- 4. Use of technology matches partially (Criterion J, K). Particularly some devices (alpha spectrometry, liquid scincilation spectrometry, etc.) used in ULISBOA are not available in ISEC. The data on facilities of Department of Physics of University of Oslo is not sufficient to carry out the comparison.
- 5. Course statistics (Criterion L) for European universities are not available and such comparison is not applicable for the newly developed syllabus.
- 6. Course content (Criterion M) matches perfectly. It is noteworthy that smaller number of teaching hours is allotted to each topic in ISEC as compared to ULISBOA and University of Oslo.

With this analysis, the overall goal and main outcomes, teaching aspects, and other features of syllabi in use in some EU countries can be harmonized with the new "Environmental Radiation Protection" to be taught in Armenia. In particular, there are good prospects for harmonization with similar syllabi taught in ULISBOA and University of Oslo. The differences noticed in the content of courses in different countries are based on the demand for environmentalists' skills and, consequently, the course load, applied tools, teaching resources, ECTS, etc. are specific to each university and country as well.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATISTICS

Course Comparative Analysis

The information collected during the visits to EU partner universities for the implementation of syllabi comparative analysis allowed identifying the most relevant and similar course to that of "Environmental Statistics" in University of Tuscia (UNITUS), belonging to "Industrial Biotechnologies for Health and Well-being" study program, which is offered within the Department for Innovation in Biological, Agrofood and Forestry Systems.

The content of the course in UNITUS is "Biostatistics and Experimental Data Analysis" and is similar to the proposed content of "Environmental Statistics" which will be newly developed to be taught in Armenia.

Additional details about both courses at EU University and in Armenia are provided in the table below.

European example from	University of Tuscia (UNITUS)	New course to be developed in Armenia
University/Program Profile		
Criterion A: University Prof	ile	
Classic or applied	Applied	Both (Research University)
Overall number of students	49769	800
Number of Environment protection related disciplines	7 Bachelor courses and 7 Master courses considering DIBAF and DAFNE Departments	2
Number of Environment protection students	No data available	13
Criterion B: Program/discipl	ine profile	
Theoretical or applied	Applied	Both
Number of students	No data available	13
Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study program	No data available	"Environmental Statistics" course will provide theoretical grounds and practical skills regarding the statistical analysis of environmental data.
Course Settings		
Criterion C: Course type		
Bachelor or master level	Master Level	Master Level
Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	1st year, 1st semester	1/2

Selective or mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory		
Theoretical / applied	Applied	Applied		
Criterion D: Relations to oth	er courses in the program			
Prerequisite courses	No prerequisite courses in the program	No prerequisite courses in the program		
Outcome courses	No outcome courses in the program	Environmental Geochemistry; Food Safety and Risk Assessment		
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	No data	None		
Criterion E: Department teaching a course	Graduating	Graduating		
Non-graduating / Graduating / Other				
Criterion F: Course load				
Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations	6 ECTS	3 ECTS		
Number of credits	4 ECTS to lectures, 2 ECTS to	Lectures – 1 ECTS		
associated with particular course activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.)	practicals	Practicals – 2 ECTS		
Teaching aspects				
Criterion G: Pedagogy				
Traditional place-based learning Blended learning Flipped classroom				
MOOC	Collaborative learning in the	Blended learning		
Project-based learning	practical part	j		
Inquiry-based learning				
Collaborative learning				
Game-based learning				
Criterion H: Assessment				
Exams (how many, oral / written / test-like)	The students should positively pass two practical proofs during the course or one final	1^{st} midterm written exam 2^{nd} midterm oral exam Final oral exam		
Testing (how often)	practical proof. Then, they can	2 times per semester		
Grade computation (contribution of each	sustain the oral examination.	Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points		

course activity to the final		(10%)	
grade, availability of extra		Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%)	
credits)		Component 3 - 2 nd exam, up to 4 points (20%)	
		Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points	
		(50%)	
Criterion I: Teaching resour	ces		
Teaching hours	Teaching hours 48- out of	24	
Preparatory hours	which 16 practicals	0	
	During the course, practical	0	
Teaching assistants	exercises will be carried out	0	
(grading / tutorials)	during which students will be		
Labs and field	able to apply what was	8	
	explained during the		
	theoretical lessons and analyze		
	experimental data, related to		
	techniques and applications of		
	biotechnological interest,		
	using a special software.		
Use of technology	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Criterion J: Use of profession	nal tools		
Name of the tool(s) used		R statistics, Excel	
(lab devices/systems,		it statistics, facti	
software solutions, etc.)			
, and the second	Power point application	D 1 1 1	
Supported activities	during lectures.	Books, manuscripts and guidelines	
(tutorials, home works)	Excel application for data		
Overall role of the tool	processing and statistics during	Not applicable	
(essential instrument that	laboratory activities.		
must be learnt or one way			
to help learn the rest the			
material easier)			
Criterion K: Use of TEL-syst	tems		
Name and type of the tool			
used (if any)			
Supported activity			
(assessment, home works,			
exam preparation)	None	None	
Role on the course	TYOTIC	NOTIC	
(mandatory component /			
extra credit opportunity/			
fully optional			
supplementary tool)			
Course statistics			
Criterion L: Course statistic	S		
Average number of	30	Not applicable	
students enrolled in the			

course		
Average percentage of	No data available	Not applicable
students successfully		
finishing the course		
Average grades	No data available	Not applicable
distribution		
Percentage of	No data available	Not applicable
international students		
Overall student	No data available	Not applicable
demographics (gender,		
age, nationality,		
scholarships, etc.)		
Average rating of the	No data available	Not applicable
course by students		

Course content

Criterion M: Course competency profile

Outcome competencies of the course (computingrelated must learn in it) Learning objectives

The course aims to provide the tools needed to analyze experimental data using the most appropriate statistical analysis tools, with the help of theoretical lessons, practical lessons and use of software. At the end of the course, students will be able to analyze experimental data.

Expected learning results

KNOWLEDGE AND

UNDERSTANDING

At the end of this educational activity, in a context of exercise or exam, the student will have to demonstrate to have acquired the knowledge of the basic elements of statistics and the development of data analysis skills related to experimental studies in the field of biotechnologies, in agreement with the program.

APPLYING KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING

Learning objectives

The course aims to provide the tools needed to analyze environmental data using the most appropriate statistical analysis tools, with the help of theoretical lessons, practical lessons and use of software. At the end of the course, students will be able to analyze environmental data.

The students will learn how to:

- determine the optimum number of environmental samples to obtain statistically reliable data,
- create and interpret different statistical graphs,
- study the relationship between two or more variables,
- apply multivariate statistical analysis methods such as CA and PCA to environmental data.

	At the end of this educational activity, the student will have to demonstrate to be able to understand the statistical approaches and data analysis and to be able to choose, among these, those most suitable to solve problems of interest, critically analyzing the results. MAKING JUDGMENTS At the end of the training, the person must be able to analyze and interpret the experimental	
	results obtained and discuss them in a logical manner.	
Prerequisite competencies	The course is in a graduating	Knowledge of algebra
of the course (what a	study program. To have access	
student must know before	to it, students should have	
taking it)	already obtained the bachelor,	
	including also a "Mathematics	
	and Statistical Principles"	
	study program (7 ESTC).	

The gathered information, as well as the peculiarities of teaching process in European partner university and in Armenia allow drawing the following concluding remarks:

- 1. As "Environmentral statistics" course should be newly developed, the information on the number of students etc. is missing.
- 2. In both cases, the course materials include common aspects of statistics which students must know, as well as both courses are target-oriented and based on the incorporation of all teaching and practical materials, softwares etc.
- 3. Use of softwares matches partially due to the license issues and features of open source statistical programs such as R statistics.

The prerequisites vary, as the students applying for Master's degree programs at ISEC have various backgrounds (sometimes, even students from humanities may apply for "Environmental Protection and Nature Management" Master's degree program), the general prerequisite is the knowledge of Algebra.

SOIL QUALITY MONITORING

Course Comparative Analysis

The information collected during the visits to the EU partner universities showed that there is a lack of similar course in "Water Quality Monitoring". Therefore, the Consortium decided to replace the abovementioned course with "Soil Quality Monitoring" which will be newly developed for Master's degree students studying at ISEC, Armenia. The proposed new course, "Soil Quality Monitoring", has an analogous course in UNITUS DIBAF department called "Monitoring Soil Quality" (http://www.unitus.it/en/dipartimento/dibaf/scienze-forestali-e-ambientali/articolo/presentazione6).

"Monitoring Soil Quality" course provided to UNITUS Master's degree students by the professor Maria Cristina Moscatelli is a part of *Forestry and Environmental Sciences* and includes the following chapters:

- I. Introduction,
- II. Indicators of soil quality and health,
- III. Soil organic matters (SOM),
- IV. Soil microbial biomass,
- V. Mineralization processes (C & N mineralization),
- VI. Soil enzymes,
- VII. Drivers of global soil change,
- VIII. How to plan a monitoring activity.

Additional details about the study processes and courses are provided in the below table.

European example from	University of Tuscia	New course developed in Armenia
	(UNITUS)	
University/Program Profi	le	
Criterion A: University Pr	rofile	
Classic or applied	Applied	Both (Research University)
Overall number of	49769	800
students		
Number of	7 Bachelor courses and 7	2
Environment protection	Master courses considering	
related disciplines	DIBAF and DAFNE	
	Departments	
Number of	No data available	13
Environment protection		
students		
Criterion B: Program/discipline profile		

Theoretical or applied	Both	Both
Number of students	30/40	13
Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study program	"Monitoring Soil Quality" course provides theoretical bases and tools to start a monitoring program	"Soil Quality Monitoring" course will provide theoretical grounds regarding the features of soil of different areas, highlight the main parameters and methods how to measure and assess soil
		quality.
Course Settings		,
Criterion C: Course type		
Bachelor or master level	Master Level	Master Level
Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	1st year, 1st semester	2/1
Selective or mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Theoretical / applied	Both	Both
Criterion D: Relations to	other courses in the program	
Prerequisite courses	No prerequisite courses in the program	Environmental Statistics, Environmental Monitoring and Measurement Devices
Outcome courses	No outcome courses in the program	Thesis
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	No data	None
Criterion E: Department	Graduating	Graduating
teaching a course		
Non-graduating / Graduating / Other		
Criterion F: Course load		
Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations	6 ECTS	3 ECTS
Number of credits	5 ECTS lectures, 1 ECTS	Lectures – 1 ECTS
associated with	laboratory practical	Practical and self-study – 2 ECTS
particular course	classes/working group	
activities (lectures /	activities etc.	
tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.)		
Teaching aspects		

Criterion G: Pedagogy			
Traditional place-based			
learning			
Blended learning			
Flipped classroom			
MOOC	Blended learning	Blended learning	
Project-based learning			
Inquiry-based learning			
Collaborative learning			
Game-based learning			
Criterion H: Assessment		,	
Exams (how many, oral		1st midterm written exam	
/written/test-like)		2 nd midterm oral exam	
		Final oral exam	
Testing (how often)		2 times per semester	
Grade computation		Contribution of each course activity	
(contribution of each		(100%):	
course activity to the	1 final written test	Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2	
final grade, availability of extra credits)		points (10%) Component 2 1st even up to 4 points	
oi extra credits)		Component 2- 1 st exam, up to 4 points (20%)	
		Component 3 - 2 nd exam, up to 4 points	
		(20%)	
		Component 4 - final exam, up to 10	
		points (50%)	
Criterion I: Teaching reso	1	l o .	
Teaching hours	48	24	
Preparatory hours	No data available	0	
Teaching assistants	1 laboratory technician	0	
(grading / tutorials)	during practical classes		
Labs and field	No data available	8	
Use of technology			
Criterion J : Use of profess	ional tools		
Name of the tool(s) used	Power point application	Soil sampling kit	
(lab devices/systems,	during lectures and	In situ pH meter	
software solutions, etc.)	working group activities		
Supported activities	Excel application for data	Books, manuscripts and guidelines	
(tutorials, home works)	processing and statistics		
Overall role of the tool	during laboratory activities	Not applicable	

(essential instrument]
that must be learnt or		
one way to help learn		
the rest the material		
easier)		
Cubici)	Criterion K: Use of TE	[gyatama
A. C.1	Citterion K. Ose of TE	L-systems
Name and type of the		
tool used (if any)		
Supported activity		
(assessment, home		
works, exam		
preparation)	None	None
Role on the course		
(mandatory component		
/ extra credit		
opportunity / fully		
optional supplementary		
tool)		
Course statistics		
Criterion L: Course statis	tics	
Average number of	2017/2018 - 23 students	Not applicable
students enrolled in the		
course		
Average percentage of	80%	Not applicable
students successfully		
finishing the course		
Average grades	25-26/30	Not applicable
distribution	23 20/00	The applicable
Percentage of	80-90%	Not applicable
international students	80-9070	Not applicable
	NT 1	N
Overall student	No data available	Not applicable
demographics (gender,		
age, nationality,		
scholarships, etc.)		
Average rating of the	Good	Not applicable
course by students		
Course content		
Criterion M: Course comp	petency profile	
Outcome competencies	1. Acknowledge soil as a	1. Learn soil features of urban, mining
of the course	living, dynamic,	and agricultural areas
(computing-related	vulnerable resource	2. Know a basic set of indicators to

must learn in it)	 Learn concepts of soil quality, health and security Know a basic set of indicators to monitor soil quality Learn how to choose the right indicators in relation to specific case studies in forest 	monitor soil quality 3. Learn how to choose the right indicators in relation to specific case studies in anthropogeincally impacted environment
	environment	
Prerequisite	Suggested prerequisites: Soil	Not applicable
competencies of the	science, Biochemistry and	
course (what a student	Microbiology	
must know before		
taking it)		

The collected information, as well as the features of teaching process in the European partner university and in Armenia allow arriving at the following concluding remarks:

- ➤ The limited number of ESTC credits of newly developed "Soil Quality Monitoring" course is restricting the incorporation of the entire teaching materials from the European analogous course.
- ➤ Considering the local features of soil and environmental issues in Armenia, as well as the material provided to students within other courses of Master's degree program, "Soil Quality Monitoring" newly developed course will mainly focus on soil features of urban, mining and agricultural areas targeting environmental pollution issues and parameters controlling and affecting the soil quality.

ENVIRONMENTAL GEOCHEMISTRY

Course Comparative Analysis

The information compiled from EU universities and project consortium on "Environmental Geochemistry" course for comparative analysis showed that the most similar course for Master's students is offered by the University of Naples Federico II (http://www.international.unina.it/), Italy.

The table summarizes the results of the comparison:

Criterion/ Details	European example from University of Naples Federico II	Environmental Geochemistry course at ISEC (Armenia)
University/Program Profile		
Criterion A: University profile		
Classic or applied:	Both	Both (Research and educational Center)
Overall number of students	78324	800
Number of Environment protection related disciplines	5 (Geology and applied geology; Chemical and Environmental Toxicology; Natural Science; Forest and Environmental Sciences; Environmental and land engineering)	2
Number of Environment protection students	300	15
Criterion B: Program/discipline	profile	
Theoretical or applied	Applied	Both
Number of students	50	13 (2018/2019)
Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study program	Course is specific to student selecting the topic A1(Mineralogical, petrographic and geochemical disciplines) among 4 available.	The course is mandatory for students.
Course Settings		
Criterion C: Course type		
Bachelor or master level	Master	Master
Year/semester of studies	2 nd year/ 1 st semester	2 nd year/ 1 st semester
Selective or mandatory	Selective	Mandatory
Theoretical / applied	Both	Both
Criterion D: Relations to other c	ourses in the program	
Prerequisite courses	Mathematics, Chemistry, Geochemistry, Petrography, Geology, Geomorphology,	Environmental monitoring and measurement devices, research logic, thematic cartography

	Geophysics.	
Outcome courses	None	None
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	Geochemical site characterization and risk analysis; Isotope geochemistry and its applications; Technological and environmental applications of industrial minerals	No, it is not a part of any course.
Criterion E: Department teaching	a course	
Non-graduating / Graduating / Other:	Graduating	Graduating
Criterion F: Course load		
Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations	6 ECTS	4 ESTC
Number of credits associated with particular course activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.):	4 ECTS for lectures; 2 ECTS for laboratory (practicals)	No data
Teaching Aspects		
Criterion G: Pedagogy		
Blended learning Flipped classroom MOOC Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Game-based learning	Blended learning: yes (in room equipped with media centers connected to the web)	Blended learning: + Flipped classroom: - MOOC: - Project-based learning: - Inquiry-based learning: + Collaborative learning: - Game-based learning: +
Criterion H: Assessment		
Exams (how many, oral / written / test-like) Testing (how often) Grade computation (contribution of each course activity to the final grade, availability of extra credits)	Exam consists of written and oral	- 1 final exam – oral every 3rd lesson is testing, mostly oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%)

Criterion I: Teaching resources		
Teaching hours	Touching, F4	Teaching: 24 lectures, practical 8
Preparatory hours	Teaching: 56	Preparatory hours: none
Teaching assistants (grading /	Preparatory: none	Teaching assistants: none
tutorials)	Teaching assistants: 1 Labs: 1	<i>Labs: -88</i>
Labs	Laus: 1	
Use of Technology		
Criterion J: Use of professional to	ols	
Name of the tool(s) used (lab	Open source GIS software for	Lab and field equipment, computers
devices/systems, software	mapping; Microsoft Excel,	Lab and neid equipment, computers
solutions, etc.)	Kaleidagraph for statistical	Lab, practical activities and
Supported activities (tutorials,	analysis of geochemical data.	homework
home works)		essential for lab, practical activities
Overall role of the tool (essential	Laboratory (practicals);	and research
instrument that must be learnt	Homeworks	and research
or one way to help learn the rest		
the material easier)	Essential for the lab activity	
Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems		
Name and type of the tool used	None	None
(if any)		
Supported activity (assessment,		
home works, exam preparation)		
Role on the course (mandatory		
component / extra credit		
opportunity / fully optional		
supplementary tool)		
Course Statistics		
Criterion L: Course statistics		
Average number of students	0	7
enrolled in the course	8	7
Average percentage of students	00.0/	00.0/
successfully finishing the course	90 %	88 %
Average grades distribution	27/30	15.6/20
Percentage of international	15 %	0 %
students	13 %	0 %
Overall student demographics		
(gender, age, nationality,	No data	78 % female, 100 % Armenian, 6%
scholarships, etc.)		
Average rating of the course by	N. J	4.0/5
students	No data	4.9/5
Course Content		
Criterion M: Course competency	profile	
Outcome competencies of the	The students must be able to	Course will provide knowlede on
course (what students must learn		basic geochemical processes,
in it)	understanding, and problem	phenomena, geochemical methods
*	J, I	, 0

	contexts related to Environmental Geochemistry.	assess the ways, types, scale, levels, hazards and risks of pollution of various compartments of the environment. It will also give an opportunity to get acquainted with possible measures to reduce environmental pollution levels. The knowledge gained is important for a wide range of environmental sciences, applied geology and
		geography etc. Basic principles of chemistry,
Prerequisite competencies of the course (what a student must know before taking it)	Knowledge of geochemistry, fundamentals of statistics and GIS mapping techniques.	biology, geography, geology,

The comparative analysis of data shows that there are essential differences between UNF and ISEC in "Criterion A and B" mostly in terms of students' number, including students in environmental protection. The course is mandatory for ISEC students and is selective for one of the topics of the UNF master course. Both courses are taught in the same semester and both include theoretical and applied parts. Prerequisites of course are different as well; ISEC course is a complete course. The overall number of credits is more in UNF, and the testing and teaching system is completely different. Comparison of "Criterion J" shows that the practical tools are more focused in UNF. TEL-systems are not used by both universities. Differences between average number of students enrolled and finishing the course is more or less the same. In a broad sense, the focus and outcomes for students are the same in two courses.

The comparison of the data given in table and the syllabus of the UNF allowed concluding that

- 1. At ISEC, the course is more about "environmental" part of "Environmental geochemistry" course, as it targets at environmentalists rather than geologists.
- 2. At ISEC, course theoretical part is dominating. As a rule, students at ISEC come from different disciplines which require paying more attention to theory.
- 3. The main differences are due to fewer credits and teaching hours of the course at ISEC and geochemical features and environmental issues in Armenia.
- 4. The comparison was very useful specifically in terms of the practical part of the course. Most themes will not be changed drastically due to the features of Armenian geochemistry, background of students and the chair, in general, but the pratical part of the course will be revised, as it is more interesting and comprehensive at UNF.

FOOD SAFETY RISK ASSESSMENT

Course Comparative Analysis

It is important to note that during the visits to EU partner Universities for the implementation of syllabus comparative analysis the discussions were organized, particularly regarding the quality of syllabi development. The definition and probable changes of "defense" with "protection" was also discussed during the presentation within the frames of the study visit to University of Tuscia. After that the importance of integration of food safety and risk assessment was discussed with Professor Merendino in order to avoid from overlapping with the course of risk assessment. It was agreed with Professor Merendino to investigate European best practices and select most relevant and similar course which was "Food Safety" and "Risk Assessment" studied in Wageningen University & Research (WUR) is a globally leading university and research organization in the fields of agriculture, healthy food and the living environment. Wageningen University is one of the few universities in Europe with the ability to offer education and research in all fields of food safety, which includes not only technical disciplines such as microbiology and toxicology, but also the legal, economic, risk management and communication aspects of food safety.

Additional details about the Wageningen University & Research (WUR) study processes and course are provided in the below table.

CRITERION/ DETAILS	EUROPEAN EXAMPLE FROM WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH	COURSE TO BE MODERNIZED IN ARMENIA
	University/Program Profile	
	Criterion A: University profile	
Classic or applied:	Applied (Research and education	Both (Academic)
Classic of applied.	combined)	Dotti (Academic)
	12 000 including ¹ :	
	Bachelor's students - 5655	
	Master's students - 5822	
Overall number of students	Other enrolments -523	800
Overall number of students	Percentage of international	800
	students:	
	Bachelor's students - 3%	
	Master's students - 40 %	
Number of Environment	55 ²	1
protection related disciplines		
Number of Environment	Environmental Sciences (BMW) ¹	Mastar's students 15
protection students	1st year Bachelor's students - 60	Master's students - 15

¹Annual report Wageningen UR 2017 (source: https://www.wur.nl/upload_mm/b/0/4/77eb4b5b-78f7-4143-a2dc-6dce1f77dc2b_Jaarverslag%20WUR%202017%20definitief_UK_Totaal_LR.PDF).

²Source:https://ssc.wur.nl/Handbook/Programme/MES

Г	T	
	All students - 168	
	Environmental Sciences (MES)	
	1st year Master's students - 97	
	All students – 336	
	Criterion B: Program/discipline profile	
Theoretical or applied	Applied	Both theoretical or applied
Number of students	56	13
Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study program	Food safety risk assessment is one of the thesis track-related subjects but as a part of the specialization of Applied Food Safety.	Food safety risk assessment will become one of the compulsory courses of Environmental Protection and Nature Management Master's degree program. "Food Safety Primary Protection" subject for several years was as an elective course, but the aim and scope of that curriculum was different and didn't include the part of risk assessment.
	Course Settings	assessment.
	Criterion C: Course type	
Bachelor or master level	Master level	Only for master level
Year/semester of studies	1st year/ period 5 (March/April)	1 st year/1 st semester
Selective or mandatory	Mandatory as a thesis track-related subject (compulsory unless advised otherwise). Note: Compalsory for specialization of applied food safety.	Mandatory
Theoretical / applied	Applied	Theoretical
	n D: Relations to other courses in the	program
Prerequisite courses	Toxicology; Advanced Food Microbiology.	Not required
Outcome courses	Food safety risk management Thesis	Thesis
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from which it can be selected), other courses in this group	Food safety risk assessment is one of the thesis track-related subjects and it is compulsory unless advised otherwise ³ , other subjects are: MSc Food safety risk assessment MS thesis food safety risk assessment	Food safety risk assessment is not a part of a course group/cluster
C	riterion E: Department teaching a cou	rse

-

 $^{^3\} https://www.wur.nl/en/Education-Programmes/master/MSc-programmes/MSc-Environmental-Sciences/Thesistracks/Environmental-Toxicology.htm$

Non-graduating / Graduating / Other: Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations Number of credits associated with particular course activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.):	Safety" Master's degree programme (graduating) at Education unit (department) of food microbiology. Criterion F: Course load 6 credits Credits 6.00 Teaching method Contact hours Lecture 24 Tutorial 4	Graduating 4 credits 1st midterm exam: up to 4 points (20%) 2nd midterm exam: up to 4 points (20%) Final exam: up to 10 points (50%)
	Practical 20	Attendance: up to 2 points (10%)
	Group work 5 Teaching Aspects	
	Criterion G: Pedagogy	
Blended learning Flipped classroom MOOC Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Game-based learning	Collaborative learning	Collaborative learning
	Criterion H: Assessment	
Exams (how many, oral / written / test-like) Testing (how often) Grade computation (contribution of each course activity to the final grade, availability of extra credits)	The minimum mark for PGO is 5.5 and constitutes 30% of the final mark. The exam is composed of closed (50%) and open questions (50%), it constitutes 70% of the final mark, and a minimum of 5.5 is required to pass.	Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1- Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3- 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 4- final exam, up to 10 points (50%)
Criterion I: Teaching resources		
Teaching hours Preparatory hours Teaching assistants (grading / tutorials) Labs	Teaching method Contact hours Lecture 24 Tutorial 4 Practical 20 Group work 5	Total 90 hours, including: lecture - 24 hours practical - 8 hours individual/ self-work- 58 hours

Use of Technology		
Criterion J: Use of professional tools		
Name of the tool(s) used (lab devices/systems, software solutions, etc.)		
Supported activities (tutorials, home works) Overall role of the tool (essential instrument that must be learnt or one way to help learn the rest the material easier)	The course is set-up as integration between lectures, practicals, computer sessions, videos and excursion.	between lectures, practice lessons,
	Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems	
Name and type of the tool used (if any) Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam preparation) Role on the course (mandatory component / extra credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary tool)	The information is not available	-
	Course Statistics	
	Criterion L: Course statistics	
Average number of students enrolled in the course	56	New curriculum
Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course	-	-
Average grades distribution		
Percentage of international students	40	0%
Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.)	-	
Average rating of the course by students	-	
	Course Content	
Criterion M: Course competency profile		
	This course is a combination of formal lectures, case studies, laboratory classes and written and oral presentations. In the case	course will be a combination of the basics of food safety, protection
Outcome competencies of the course (what students must learn in it)	studies, small groups of students will work on a risk assessment of different food products, which will be finalised by a group report. The course will provide an overview of the most important bacterial, mycoand fycotoxins, their presence and	that the course is elaborated for MSc students in the field of environmental protection and nature management the case studies will be linked to the

detoxification Bacterial virulence mechanisms and part of the subject and the general host responses will be discussed, will be planned highlighting the dose-response importance including relationships. effect The processing (e.g. heating) on food including safety, survival of pathogens, formation of heterocyclic amines, PAKs and oxidation products are reviewed. Microbiological and Toxicological risk assessment will be discussed including predictive modelling techniques and genetic polymorphisms for detoxication in man. In addition, during the practical course experience with rapid detection of microbial toxins and with benchmark dose modelling of dose response curves of chemical contaminants will be obtained.

After successful completion of this course students are expected to be able to:

- describe the occurrence of foodborne pathogens and provide an inventory of their toxins and actions;
- debate the effect of processing on the occurrence of toxic compounds and the level of pathogens present;
- distinguish host responses to pathogens and their toxins;
- discuss the role of the gut microbiota in toxicological risks of food-borne chemicals;
- compare and apply dose response models;
- define and present tools for setting (combined) up microbiological and toxicological risk evaluations;
- explain the results of a combined microbiological and toxicological risk assessment in an oral

mechanisms of toxic action and heavy metals, POPs, pesticides, mechanisms. radionuclides etc. Both the private of chemical risk of assessment.

> After successful completion of this course students are expected to be able to:

- describe the occurrence of foodborne pathogens and provide an inventory of their toxins and actions;
- debate the effect of occurrence of contaminates;
- distinguish acute and chronic risk assessment mythologies;
- discuss the toxicological risks of food-borne chemicals;
- compare and apply dose response models;
- define and present tools for setting up (combined) chemical and toxicological risk evaluations;
- explain the results of chemical and toxicological risk assessment in an oral presentation and a written report.

	presentation and a written report.	
Prerequisite competencies of the course (what a student must know before taking it)	Food microbiology	Prior to the course it is assumed that students have basic knowledge of biology and biochemistry.

The comparative analysis allows making the following recommendations:

Wageningen University is one of the few universities in Europe with the ability to offer education and research in all fields of food safety. This includes not only technical disciplines such as microbiology and toxicology, but also the legal, economic, risk management and communication aspects of food safety. Food safety and food safety risk assessment are included in the learning programs of the University, but basics of food safety module for 2 credits is online meanwhile food safety risk assessment course for 6 credits is mandatory for the specialization of applied food safety. It is worth mentioning that University is offering a lot of linked courses such as food hazard, food safety risk management, food safety economics, food law, but ISEC must incorporate them in one course which must include hazards, economic and legal parts as well as risk assessment part, so the best solution will be to elaborate one course, food safety risk assessment, into 4 credits, which will highlight environmental aspects of food safety and risk assessment. The main case studies will be focused not only on microbiological and fungal issues but also will include chemical risk assessment particularly the risk assessment of environmental contaminants POPs, heavy metals etc.

The outcomes of comparative analysis of syllabi of Wageningen University and the developed new curriculum at ISEC allow making the following conclusions:

- ➤ Program Profiles of Wageningen University is different. The WUR is one of the few universities in Europe with the ability to offer education and research in all fields of food safety. The overall number of students; the number of environmental protection related disciplines does not also match due to the fact that WUR is a first University in Europe in the field of environment and food.
- ➤ Criterion C and D match partially. WUR has perfect link and prerequisite for food safety risk assessment and logistic continuation of the course with risk management, total 12 credits. ISEC doesn't have a course requisite, so the lecturer must involve the basics of food safety also in this course within the frame of 4 credits highlighting the aspects of chemical risk assessment.
- ➤ Course Settings (Criterion C-F) match partially. ISEC will have 4 credits, including the basics of food safety, so the microbiological part will be shortened.
- ➤ Teaching aspects (Pedagogy) will be organized in compliance with WUR requirements. Criterion H (Assessment) will be taken into consideration. Usually at

ISEC there are no multiple choice questions, but for the group work and quizzes kahoot can be considered as an effective tool for teaching. Criterion I (Teaching resources) will be harmonised with WUR.

- ➤ Use of technology matches partially due to the difference in infrastructures. Anyway, the private visits to the labs and productions will be organised for students to match that parameter, as well.
 - ➤ Course content (Criterion M) matches partially. At ISEC, the courses are planned for smaller number of credits.

With this analysis, the overall goal and main outcomes, teaching aspects, and other features of "Food Safety Risk Assessment" syllabus will be developed taking into consideration the similar syllabi at Wageningen University.

ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY

Course Comparative Analysis

The issues concerning ISEC "Environmental Toxicology" course modernization was discussed during the visits to partner Universities. Particularly, the colleagues from University of Tuscia presented detailed information on "Applied Ecology and Ecotoxicology course". Taking into consideration the fact that this course is designed for a master's degree program in marine ecology, together with colleagues from University of Tuscia we decided to find the most relevant course intended for the Environmental Sciences master's program. Eventually, the collected information about the EU Universities for the implementation of sullabus comparative analysis allows us to identify the most relevant and similar course which is "Environmental Toxicology" course at Wageningen University & Research (WUR). Wageningen University & Research (WUR) is a globally leading university and research organization in the fields of agriculture, healthy food, and the living environment. It is important to note that the Environmental Sciences master's program in Wageningen has its roots in the natural, technological, and social sciences. Students gain insight into the socio-economic causes and the characteristics of pollution and degradation of the natural environment, including the effects on human beings, the atmosphere, ecosystems, and other organisms. It is noteworthy that this two-year program is based on an interdisciplinary approach. Students learn to develop analytical tools and models, as well as technologies, sociopolitical arrangements, and economic instruments to prevent and control environmental and sustainability issues4.

Additional details about the Wageningen University & Research (WUR) study processes and course are provided in the below table.

CRITERION/ DETAILS	EUROPEAN EXAMPLE FROM WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH	COURSE TO BE MODERNIZED IN ARMENIA
	University/Program Profile	
	Criterion A: University profile	
Classic or applied:	Applied (Research and education combined)	Both (Academic)
Overall number of students	12 000 including ⁵ : Bachelor's students - 5655 Master's students - 5822 Other enrolments - 523 Percentage of international	800

⁴ Source: https://www.wur.nl/en/Education-Programmes/master/MSc-programmes/MSc-Environmental-Sciences.htm

⁵ Annual report Wageningen UR 2017 (source: https://www.wur.nl/upload_mm/b/0/4/77eb4b5b-78f7-4143-a2dc-6dce1f77dc2b_Jaarverslag%20WUR%202017%20definitief_UK_Totaal_LR.PDF).

60

		<u> </u>
	students:	
	Bachelor's students - 3%	
	Master's students - 40 %	
Number of Environment protection related disciplines	36	1
	Environmental Sciences (BMW) ¹	
	1st year Bachelor's students - 60	
Number of Environment	All students - 168	
protection students	Environmental Sciences (MES)	Master's students - 15
protection statemes	1st year Master's students - 97	
	All students - 336	
Criterion B: Program/discipline pr		
Theoretical or applied	Applied	Both theoretical or applied
Number of students	No available data	13
Number of students		13
	Environmental Toxicology is one	
	of the thesis track-related subjects	Environmental Toxicology is one of
Role/part of the selected	and part of the MSc program	the compulsory courses of at
course(s) in the study program	Environmental Sciences when	Department of Environmental
	selecting the thesis track	Protection and Nature Management.
	Environmental Toxicology.	
	Course Settings	
	Criterion C: Course type	
Bachelor or master level	Both for bachelor and master level	,
Year/semester of studies	1st year/ period 5 (March/April)	1st year/1st semester
	N. 1	
	Mandatory as a thesis track-	
	related subject (compulsory unless	25 1.
Selective or mandatory	advised otherwise).	Mandatory
	Note: Restricted Optional for MES	
	Environmental Sciences.	
Theoretical / applied	Both theoretical and applied	Theoretical
**	on D: Relations to other courses in the	
	1	1 0 I
Prerequisite courses	Not specified	Not required
	Environmental Risk Assessment	Food Safety Risk Assessment (which
Outcome courses	of Chemicals	will be newly developed in the frame
		of MENVIPRO project)
	Environmental Toxicology is one	Environmental Toxicology is not a
	of the thesis track-related subjects	part of a course group/cluster
If the course is a part of a	and it is compulsory unless	
group/cluster (from which it can	advised otherwise ⁷ , other subjects	
be selected), other courses in this	are:	
group	Environmental Risk Assessment	
	of Chemicals	
	MSc Internship Toxicology	

⁶ Source: https://ssc.wur.nl/Handbook/Master

⁷ https://www.wur.nl/en/Education-Programmes/master/MSc-programmes/MSc-Environmental-Sciences/Thesistracks/Environmental-Toxicology.htm

	MSc Thesis Toxicology	
(L Criterion E: Department teaching a c	ourse
Non-graduating / Graduating / Other:	Other: Environmental Toxicology is a specialisation of the sub-department of Toxicology	Graduating
	Criterion F: Course load	
Overall number of credits according to ECTS regulations	6 credits	4 credits
Number of credits associated with particular course activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work / homework / etc.):	Exam: 60% of overall credits Practical skills: 20% of overall credits Case simulation: 20% of overall credits	1st midterm exam: up to 4 points (20% of overall credits) 2nd midterm exam: up to 4 points (20% of overall credits) Final exam: up to 10 points (50% of overall credits) Attendance/active participation: up to 2 points (10% of overall credits)
	Teaching Aspects	
	Criterion G: Pedagogy	
Blended learning Flipped classroom MOOC Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Game-based learning	Collaborative learning	Collaborative learning
	Criterion H: Assessment	
Exams (how many, oral / written / test-like) Testing (how often) Grade computation (contribution of each course activity to the final grade, availability of extra credits)	Assessment is based on three outcomes: - exam: in this exam the theoretical base of environmental toxicology is assessed, using multiple choice questions (60%); - practical skills: this is tested with a written report and a group presentation. This also include work attitude (20%); - case simulation: the students work on a group assignments dealing with a case study. Students are evaluated by their (joint) poster and pitch presentation (20%). Criterion I: Teaching resources	points (10%) Component 2- 1 st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2 nd exam, up to 4 points (20%)
Teaching hours	Total 99 hours, including:	Total 90 hours, including:
Preparatory hours Teaching assistants (grading /	lecture - 24 hours practical - 72 hours	lecture - 24 hours practical - 8 hours

excursion (one day) - 3 hours	individual/ self-work- 58 hours
•	In the practical part of the course
	students study the toxic properties of
·	specific toxicants using the literature
•	data. A particular focus will be on
	characterization of the absorption,
-	distribution, metabolism and
	·
, , ,	excretion (ADME) of contaminant.
	1-
-	The course is set-up as an integration
_	between lectures, practicals,
*	computer sessions and videos.
	Lectures provide detailed information
	on the relevant topics of the course.
<u></u>	During the self-works/home work
_	students do literature assignments,
_	then write a report.
	Lectures also include an overview of
	scientific sources for toxicological
performing and analysing	data, important legislation, and
experimental dose-response	examples of the differences between
studies. Group assignment focuses	the various authorities working in
on a case study based on a	the field.
computer simulation model ⁸ .	the field.
<u> </u>	
No available information	Not applicable
No available information	Home work and support for exam
	preparation
Mandatory for students working	preparation
Mandatory for students working on thesis in the field of	preparation Course provides valuable knowledge
·	
on thesis in the field of	Course provides valuable knowledge
on thesis in the field of	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get
on thesis in the field of	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment protection related disciplines
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment protection related disciplines 7
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics Criterion L: Course statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment protection related disciplines 7 88 % 17.7 out of 20 point
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics Criterion L: Course statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment protection related disciplines 7
on thesis in the field of environmental toxicology Course Statistics Criterion L: Course statistics	Course provides valuable knowledge which can help students to get acquainted with other environment protection related disciplines 7 88 % 17.7 out of 20 point
	studies. Group assignment focuses on a case study based on a computer simulation model ⁸ . Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems No available information

⁸ Source: https://ssc.wur.nl/Handbook/Course/TOX-30806 63

scholarships, etc.)			
Average rating of the course by			
students		4.43 out of 5 point	
students	Course Content		
Criterion M: Course competency profile			
	After successful completion of the	After successful completion of the	
	course students are expected to be	course students are expected to be	
	able to:	able to:	
	1. summarise the most relevant	1. summarise the most relevant terms,	
	terms, principles and methods in	principles and methods in	
	environmental toxicology;	environmental toxicology;	
	2. distinguish the main sources	2. distinguish the main sources and	
	and types of environmental	types of environmental	
	pollutants and assess their	contaminants/toxicants and their	
	potential environmental fate;	potential adverse effects;	
	3. evaluate the characteristics of	3. describe the relationship between	
	compounds, organisms and	dose and response;	
	ecosystem for their consequences	4. describe the mechanisms of toxicity	
	for environmental fate and effect	of environmental contaminants	
	propagation;	(principles of toxicokinetics and	
	4. design and execute toxicological	toxicodynamics);	
	dose-response experiments in a	5. have knowledge in risk assessment	
Outcome commetencies of the	comprehensive way, analyze and	and management of contaminants.	
Outcome competencies of the	critically discuss the results		
course (what students must learn)	(written);		
	5. create an experimental		
	approach with meaningful		
	endpoints to assess the environ-		
	mental and human risks for a		
	topical environ-		
	mental contamination case;		
	6. get acquainted with different		
	roles of the stakeholders in the		
	risk assessment process,		
	integrating the knowledge and		
	expertise gained in the course in a computer simulation case study;		
	1		
	7. give due consideration to the ethical, legal, social and policy		
	implications of environmental		
	toxicological research,		
	uncertainties and communication.		
	Prior to the course it is assumed	Prior to the course it is assumed that	
	that the information of chapters 7	students have a high-school	
Prerequisite competencies of the	and 8 of the book used in the	familiarity with biology and	
course (what a student must know	course (Walker, C.H.; Sibly, R.M.;	chemistry, but no prior knowledge of	
before taking it)	Hopkin, S.P.; Peakall, D.B. (2006).	environmental toxicology.	
	Principles of Ecotoxicology) is		

known to the students.

In general, it can be concluded that both courses are designed to give an overview of different aspects playing a role in the challenging field of environmental toxicology. Nevertheless, the comparative analysis indicates some differences (*course type, course load, teaching resources*) which can be explained not only by insufficient technical capacities of ISEC (*particularly, for carrying out in vitro assays of compound mutagenicity, cytotoxicity, and estrogenicity*) but also by the number of students involved in the course. Moreover, "Environmental toxicology" course in ISEC should not focus on risk assessment and management of contaminants, as it will be considered within the frames of other courses (*e.g., food safety risk assessment*). At the same time, it is important to stress that after course students have to get acquainted with different principles and approaches for the elimination and prevention of adverse effects of toxic substances on the environment and human health.

Overall, the comparative analysis allows taking into account the main content of the "Environmental toxicology" course at Wageningen University & Research in the process of modernization of "Environmental toxicology" course at ISEC.

APPLIED REMOTE SENSING

Course Comparative Analysis

During the visits to the EU partner Universities for the implementation of syllabuscomparative analysis we have identified "Principles of Remote Sensing and Modeling" course presented by Professor Raffaelle Casa from University of Tuscia (Italy). The presentations provided details on:

- Objectives of the course
- Expected results and knowledge
- Program
- Lectures and practical applications (exercise)
- Suggested references
- Assessment methodology

Thus, the information about the course taught in University of Tuscia was provided by Professor Raffaele Casa. Additional information was gained from the official website http://olddibaf.unitus.it/web/insegnamenti.asp?codice=16341&anno=2014.

Another course "Applied Remote Senisng" is offered by Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg (Germany). The information about the course taught at Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg (Germany) was prodided by Professor Cornelia Glaesser.

Based on these two courses, the analogous course is planned to be developed at ISEC in Armenia.

Additional details about the course taught are provided in the below table:

European example from	European example from	New course to be developed at
University of Tuscia (Italy)	Martin Luther University Halle-	ISEC (Armenia)
	Wittenberg (Germany)	
University/Program Profile		
Criterion A: University profile:	Criterion A: University profile:	Criterion A: University profile:
University of Tuscia	Martin Luther University Halle-	ISEC
	Wittenberg	Classic or applied: Research-based
Classic or applied: Applied		university
	Classic or applied: Classic	
Overall number of students: >		Overall number of students: 800
7700	Overall number of students:	(2018)
	19,901	
Number of Environment		Number of Environment
protection related disciplines –	Number of Environment	protection related discipline: 2
N/A	protection related disciplines – 4	Number of Environment
	(Geographie; International Area	protection students: 13

	Studies; Management of natural	
Number of Environment protection students- N/A	resources; Applied Geosciences)	
protection students-1V/A	resources, Applied Geosciences/	
	N. 1. CT. 1.	
	Number of Environment	
	protection students	
	Bachelor: 537 (as per 31.10.2018)	
	Master: 394 (as per 31.10.2018)	
Criterion B: Program/discipline	Criterion B:	Criterion B: Program/discipline
profile	Program/discipline profile	profile
Theoretical or applied: Both	Theoretical or applied: Both	Theoretical or applied: Both
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Tr Tr Tr	III
Number of students –N/A	Number of students –20	Number of students - 13
Transci of statents 17/1	Transcr of statents 20	rumber of students 15
Role/part of the selected	Role/part of the selected course(s)	Role/part of the selected
course(s) in the study program:	in the study program :	course(s) in the study program:
The course aims to give an	The course aims to introduce the	Mandatory course for Master
introduction to the concepts of	theory and applications of spatial	students
optical remote sensing with	analysis, modeling and	
particular emphasis on the	visualization in landscape analysis,	
applications in environmental	using remote sensing data. During	
studies using also a number of	the course, students are trained in	
practical cases.	software familiarization and	
practical cases.		
	methods for processing and	
	visualization in scientific work.	
	Course Settings	
Criterion C: Course type	Criterion C: Course type	Criterion C: Course type
Bachelor or master level: Master	Bachelor or master level: Master	Bachelor or master level: Master
Bachelor or master level: Master	Bachelor or master level: Master	Bachelor or master level: Master
Bachelor or master level: Master	Bachelor or master level: Master Year/semester of studies (1/2/) —	Bachelor or master level: Master Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1
Bachelor or master level: Master Year/semester of studies (1/2/)		
	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) –	
Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) –	
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1
Year/semester of studies (1/2/)	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) –	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory:
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – N/A Selective or mandatory – N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – N/A Selective or mandatory – N/A Theoretical / applied: Both	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – N/A Selective or mandatory – N/A Theoretical / applied: Both	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS,
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping,
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS,
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic (M01d) (desirable)	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses:GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping,
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic	Year/semester of studies (1/2/):2/1 Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses:GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping,
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic (M01d) (desirable)	Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping, Environmental Statistics
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic (M01d) (desirable)	Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping, Environmental Statistics
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: N/A Outcome courses: N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic (M01d) (desirable) Outcome courses: N/A If the course is a part of a	Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses:GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping, Environmental Statistics Outcome courses: If the course is a part of a
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) - N/A Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – 1 Selective or mandatory: Selective Theoretical / applied: Both Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: Geomatic (M01d) (desirable) Outcome courses: N/A	Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Theoretical / applied: Applied Criterion D:Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS, Complex Geoecological mapping, Environmental Statistics Outcome courses:

be selected), other courses in this	group: N/A	group: N/A
group: N/A		
Criterion E: Department teaching	Criterion E: Department teaching	Criterion E: Department teaching
a course:	a course:	a course:
DIBAF	Department of Remote Sensing	GIS and Remote Sensing
	and Cartography	Department
		-
Non-graduating / Graduating /	Non-graduating / Graduating /	Non-graduating / Graduating /
Other:	Other:	Other:
Graduating	Graduating	Graduating
Criterion F: Course load	Criterion F: Course load	Criterion F: Course load
Overall number of credits	Overall number of credits	Overall number of credits
according to	according to	according to ECTS regulations: 4
		Number of credits associated with
ECTS regulations – 6 ECTS	ECTS regulations – 5 ECTS	particular course activities:
		lectures / tutorials -/ practical
Number of credits associated with	Number of credits associated with	work / homework / etc.):
particular course activities	particular course activities	lectures, practicals – 1 ECTS
(lectures / tutorials / practical	(lectures / tutorials / practical	Individual (self-student studing) –
work / homework / etc.): N/A	work/homework/etc.): N/A	3 ECTS
		lectures, practicals
	Teaching aspects	
Criterion G: Pedagogy	Criterion G: Pedagogy	Criterion G: Pedagogy
Traditional place-based	Traditional place-based learning	Traditional place-based
learning	Project-based learning Inquiry-based	learning
Project-based learning	learning Collaborative learning	Project-based learning
Inquiry-based learning		Inquiry-based learning
Collaborative learning		Collaborative learning
Criterion H: Assessment	Criterion H: Assessment	Criterion H: Assessment
Cited of the Property of the Cited of the Ci	CITECTION II. PROCESSINGING	Green III 1 issessiment
Knowledge of the theory and	in-between presentation (oral) final	Assessment is based on:
ability to apply the methods	report (written) final report grade is	- 2 midterm exams (including 1
learned are	the final module grade	written and 1 oral exams)
evaluated trough the solution of	6	- 1 final exam - oral
complex practical cases, where		Contribution of each course
clear		activity (100%):
knowledge of remote sensing		Component 1 - Attendance, up to
basis, concepts and tools		2 points (10%)
available is needed.		Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4
The exam can be given in		points (20%)
written or oral forms and the		Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4
choice is left to the student.		points (20%) Component 4 - final
		exam, up to 10 points (50%)
Criterion I: Teaching resources	Criterion I: Teaching resources	Criterion I: Teaching resources
Teaching hours – 40 hours	Teaching hours – 45 hours	Teaching hours – 24 hours

	Field exercise with preparation and postprocessing - 10	Preparatory hours -N/A Teaching assistance - N/A
	Use of technology	1
Criterion J: Use of professional tools Name of the tool(s) used:	Criterion J: Use of professional tools software solutions: ArcGIS, MS Office, R-Studio, ENVI, Erdas Imagine	Criterion J: Use of professional tools Name of the tool(s) used: lab devices/systems: PCs, Open
Lab devices/systems:	Indus magne	Data Cube, eBee Drone, GNSS
software solutions: QGIS, ESA toolboxes (e.g. SNAP), freeware tools(e.g. EnMAP toolbox QGIS for hyperspectral data), Matlab, Python, R		software solutions: QGIS, ENVI, Agisoft/Pix4D Supported activities: tutorials, home-work, hands-on activities
Supported activities: Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems	Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems	Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems
N/A	Technology-Enhanced Learning Systems ILIAS [German for "Integrated Learning, Information and Work Cooperation System"] Audience Response Systems (ARSnova)	N/A
	Course statistics	
Criterion L: Course statistics N/A Average number of students enrolled in the course Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course	Average number of students enrolled in the course: 15 Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course:	Criterion L: Course statistics N/A Average number of students enrolled in the course: Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course:
Average grades distribution	90%	Average grades distribution:
Percentage of international students	Average grades distribution: 2.3 (good)	Percentage of international students:
Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.)	Percentage of international students: 0% Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.): N/A	Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.): Average rating of the course by

Average rating of the course by		students:
students		
	Average rating of the course by	
	students: N/A	
	Course content	
Criterion M : Course competency	Criterion M: Course competency	Criterion M : Course competency
profile	profile	profile
Outcome competencies of the	Outcome competencies of the	
course:	course:	Outcome competencies of the
Students are expected to gain	Learning objectives:	course:
knowledge and understanding of:	Acquisition of spatial geodata	
 the fundamental principles 	by using remote sensing	Prerequisite competencies of the
of the data used and methods	methods,	course:
• applying knowledge and	Methods and strategies for the	Statistics
understanding needed for	analysis of remote sensing	
the practical application, in	data.	
particular for the data		
collection, preparation and	Topics:	
corrections.	• Physical and mathematical	
The applications and examples	principles of remote sensing,	
will also provide with the	data preproseccing and remote	
necessary background to develop	sensing analyses,	
their own judgment capacity in	Image Classification	
relation to the applicability of the	Techniques in Remote	
methods in their specific cases.	Sensing,	
	Index-based Assessment of	
Prerequisite competencies of the	vegetation	
course:	Selected Application	
No prerequisits	Examples.	
	Prerequisite competencies of the	
	course:	
	N/A	

The comparative analysis of two syllabi at University of Tuscia (Italy), Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg (Germany) and ISEC allows arriving at the following conclusions:

- ➤ The key point is that three courses (two in Parner Universities and one to be developed by ISEC) are master courses.
- ➤ Course load (Criterion E-F) and Teaching aspects (Criterion G -Pedagogy) match very well.
- ➤ Criterion H (Assessment) differs but not so much. The assessment is carried out via written and oral mid-term and final exams.

- ➤ Use of technology generally matches (Criterion J). All three universities use GIS and Remote Senisng software and toolboxes. The use of Technology-Enhanced Learning Systems (TEL-system) in the Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg is noteworthy.
- ➤ Course competency profile (Criterion M) matches generally.

The comparative analysis of both course shows that program profiles for the partner universities has basic guidance for the development of newly proposed course despite the differences, mainly in course load, teaching resources, ECTS, which are conditioned with the specific features of the educational programs of the country. The differences will be revised and the courses will be adapted step by step.

GEOSPATIAL DATA MANAGEMENT & GEOCOMPUTATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPEMENT

Course Comparative Analysis

The information collected during the visits to the EU partner universities for the implementation of syllabi comparative analysis allows identifyhuq that the partner universities are of high proficiency in Information technology related courses, however, the concept of Special Data Infrastructures (SDI) is well developed at University of Geneva (Switzerland), in partnership with which CENS/ISEC develop "Spatial Data Infrastructure and Data Management" Master's degree course within the frames of Swiss-Armenian ARPEGEO project early in 2013 and which underpins "Geospatial Data Management & Geocomputation for Sustainable Developement" course.

Thus, experiencing difficulties with finding analogous courses in partner Universities, however, we have decided to modernize "Geospatial Data Management & Geocomputation for Sustainable Developement" course based on a modern course currently offered at University of Geneva (Switzerland) entitled "Geomatics for a Sustainable Environment", which gives a certificate of advanced studies (CAS) to any persons who interested in /or involved in environmental sustainability and natural capitals: biodiversity, water resources and ecosystem services.

The information about course of CAS was gained from the official website https://www.unige.ch/formcont/cours/casgeomatics.

Course description and requirements; proposed program, ESTC

"Geomatics for a Sustainable Environment" course is organized and developed by the enviroSPACE laboratory of Institute for Environmental Sciences and the Faculty of Sciences at University of Geneva.

The geographic information systems in environmental sustainability is of interest to young graduates, PhD students, experienced professionals who want to upgrade their knowledge and skills, employees and consultants from international organizations and from national or regional authorities in charge of these topics.

Additional details about the course are provided in the below table.

European example from University of Geneva	New course to be developed in CENS/ISEC	
(Switzerland)	(Armenia)	
University/Pro	ity/Program Profile	
Criterion A: University profile: University of Geneva	Criterion A: University profile: ISEC	
(UNIGE)	Classic or applied: Research-based university	
Classic or applied: Applied	Overall number of students: 800 (2018)	
Overall number of students: > 16000 students (2016)	Number of Environment protection related	

Number of Environment protection related disciplines discipline: 2 Number of Environment protection students: 13 Number of Environment protection students- N/A Criterion B: Program/discipline profile Criterion B: Program/discipline profile Theoretical or applied: Both Theoretical or applied: Both Number of students 2017: 11 attendees; 2018: 9 Number of students - 13 attendees; 2019: 12 attendees Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study program: Mandatory course for Master students. program : This is a certificate of advanced studies (CAS) course for any person who is interested in /or involved in environmental sustainability and natural capitals: biodiversity, water resources and ecosystem services. This CAS is targeting in particular Swiss and foreign experts in GIS interested in environmental sustainability, young graduates, PhD students, experienced professionals who want to upgrade their knowledge and skills, employees and consultants from international organizations and from national or regional authorities in charge of these topics. It is providing attendees with an overview of the various existing tools and approaches to tackle the multidisciplinary environmental challenges. Course Settings **Criterion C:** Course type **Criterion C:** Course type Bachelor or master level: Bachelor or master level: Master a Cerificate of Advanced Studies (CAS) course Year/semester of studies (1/2/...): 2/1 Year/semester of studies (1/2/...) – **N/A** Selective or mandatory: Mandatory Selective or mandatory - N/A Theoretical / applied: Applied Theoretical / applied: **Both Criterion D:** Relations to other courses in the Relations to other courses in the program Prerequisite courses: GIS, complex geoecological program mapping, fundamentals of sustainable Prerequisite courses: The course applicants must development, A recognized university degree (Bachelor, Master, PhD or equivalent) Outcome courses: Applied Remote Sensing Relevant professional experience or research If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from related to to geomatics and environment, Good computer skills, especially in GIS software. which it can be selected), other courses in this group: **N/A** Outcome courses: Be able to design and develop environmental

projects using geomatics tools

tools in the environmental field

Master the main geomatic, statistical and computer

Become a player aware of the natural capital	
management in decision making at any scale and in	
all types of institution.	
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from	
which it can be selected), other courses in this	
group: N/A	
Criterion E: Department teaching a course	Criterion E: Department teaching a course
Non-graduating / Graduating / Other: Institute for	Non-graduating / Graduating / Other: GIS and
Environmental Sciences and Faculty of Sciences	Remote Sensing Department Graduating
enviroSPACE laboratory	Temote sensing Department Graduating
Non-Graduating	
Criterion F: Course load	Criterion F: Course load
Overall number of credits according to ECTS	Overall number of credits according to ECTS
regulations – 10 ECTS	regulations: 4
Number of credits associated with particular course	Number of credits associated with particular course
activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work /	activities:
homework / etc.): N/A	lectures / tutorials -/ practical work / homework /
Teaching:	etc.):
1 ECTS (28 hours remotely: Module 1:MOOC)	lectures, practical s – 1 ECTS
4 ECTS(90 hours in presence Module 2a: summer	Individual (independent studies) – 3 ECTS
school),	lectures, practicals
Dissertation: 145 hours (5 ECTS)	
Each module is a subject to an evaluation in order	
to be accredited	
to be accredited	
	g aspects
	g aspects Criterion G: Pedagogy
Teachin	
Teachin	Criterion G: Pedagogy
Criterion G: Pedagogy	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course)	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course)	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows:	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on:
Teachin Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success:	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams)
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: - For the courses in presence, students should	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: - For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%):
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: - For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic (mostly every day) - the final disseration is assessed according to	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%)
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: - For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic (mostly every day)	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%)
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: - For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic (mostly every day) - the final disseration is assessed according to precise criteria, both on the layout and on the content	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points (50%)
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic (mostly every day) the final disseration is assessed according to precise criteria, both on the layout and on the content Criterion I: Teaching resources	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points (50%) Criterion I: Teaching resources
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: - For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: - For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic (mostly every day) - the final disseration is assessed according to precise criteria, both on the layout and on the content Criterion I: Teaching resources Teaching hours: 90	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points (50%) Criterion I: Teaching resources Teaching hours - 24 hours
Criterion G: Pedagogy Module 1: MOOC (massive open online course) Module 2A: Place-based learning Module 2B: Project-based learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment The assessment is as follows: For the MOOC, the candidate should provide his/her certificate of success: For the courses in presence, students should take a small exam at the end of each topic (mostly every day) the final disseration is assessed according to precise criteria, both on the layout and on the content Criterion I: Teaching resources	Criterion G: Pedagogy Traditional place-based learning Project-based learning Inquiry-based learning Collaborative learning Collaborative learning Criterion H: Assessment Assessment is based on: - 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral exams) - 1 final exam - oral Contribution of each course activity (100%): Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%) Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%) Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points (50%) Criterion I: Teaching resources

Teaching assistance – N/A

Use of technology

Criterion J: Use of professional tools

Name of the tool(s) used:

lab devices/systems: PCs, virtual machines

(Virtualbox)

software solutions: QGIS, GRASS GIS,

,GEOSERVER, GEOnode, Geonetwork, MapX application, Python, Programming Statistics in R, R studio, QSWAT, SWAT-CUP, InVEST)

Supported activities: tutorials are available on-line,

home-work, hands-on activities

Criterion J: Use of professional tools

Name of the tool(s) used:

lab devices/systems: **PCs, GeoServer, SDI**

software solutions: **QGIS**, **OpenGEO**, **Geonetwork**Supported activities: **tutorials**, **homework**, **hands**-

on activities

Criterion K: Moodle

University of Geneva uses moodle for sharing all the course material and exercices; as the data is too heavy to be uplodaded on Moodle, they use a network server for data **Criterion K**: Use of TEL-systems

Not applicable

Course statistics

Criterion L: Course statistics

Average number of students enrolled in the course: 12

Average percentage of students successfully finishing the course: 50% (mainly depends if they provide the final work)

Average grades distribution: **5.5/6**Percentage of international students: really depends on the year; average: **36%**

- 2017: 9 locals, 1 EU, 1 USA

- 2018: 7 locals, 2 international (out of EU)

- 2019: 5 locals, 7 international (out of EU)

Overall student demographics (gender, age, nationality, scholarships, etc.): 39% women in average; mostly over 30; many Swiss; few internationals (Italy, USA, Côte d'Ivoire, Niger, Nigeria, Armenia, Sri Lanka);

Average rating of the course by students: good

Criterion L: Course statistics

Average number of students enrolled in the course:7

Average percentage of students successfully

finishing the course: 88%

Average grades distribution: 12,54 Percentage of international students: 0

Overall student demographics:

gender: **M-19%; F-81%,**

age: N/A,

nationality: Armenian,

scholarships: 6%,

Average rating of the course by students: **4.9 (out of a maximum 5).**

Course content

 $\label{eq:competency profile} \textbf{Criterion M} : \textbf{Course competency profile}$

Outcome competencies of the course:

- Be able to design and develop environmental projects using geomatics tools
- Master the main geomatic, statistical and computer tools in the environmental field
- Become a player aware of the natural capital management in decision making at any scale and in all types of institutions

Criterion M: Course competency profile

Course aims at indroducing the main components of spatial data infrastructure, global spatial data repositories, giving the main skilles how to use them.

Outcome competencies of the course:

 Be able to use SDI tools to design, analyse, process, classify and share geospatial data using local and international standards and modern

Prerequisite competencies of the course:	GIS and remote sensing methods .
Applicants must have good computer skills,	
especially in GIS software to follow the summer	Prerequisite competencies of the course:
school teachings.	Good computer skills, especially in GIS software.

The comparative analysis of syllabi at University of Geneva and ISEC allows arriving at the following conclusions:

- The comparative analysis of both courses shows that they have similar goals and outcomes despite the general differences, mainly in course statistics.
- The differences in course load, applied tools, teaching resources, ECTS, and other criteria conditioned with the specific features of educational programs in the countries.

However, we conclude that the modernization of "Geospatial Data Management & Geocomputation for Sustainable Development" course needs basic principals of "Geomatics for a Sustainable Environment" CAS developed and successfully implemented at University of Geneva.

LANDSCAPE PLANNING

Course Comparative Analysis

The information collected during the visits to EU partner universities for the implementation of syllabi comparative analysis allows identifying the most relevant and similar course to that of "Landscape Planning" which is developed but should be modernized in Armenia.

The information provided below is about the shared degree course "Landscape Planning and Environmental design" offered by University of Tuscia and Sapienza Universita di Roma.

Course description and requirements; proposed program, ESTC

The course is one of the three different bachelore's degree courses offered by the multidisciplinary research and teaching unit of the University DIBAF (Innovation in Biological, agro-food and forestry systems) department. This Bachelor's degree course is the result of the synergy between the former Agriculture Faculty of University of Tuscia and Architecture Faculty of Sapienza Universita di Roma and consists of three-year study programm.

The course aims at:

- covering the growing national and European demand for highly competent technicians who are able to work innovatively and across different disciplines on critical environmental issues from a landscape, urban and socio-economic point of view.
- educating professionals with strong cultural skills for the analysis, planning, management and promotion of rural, peri-urban and urban areas and with infrastructure, landscape and environmental planning.

The theoretical, critical, interpretative, methodological and practical competences that these graduates acquire are the result of an interdisciplinary study plan perfectly integrating knowledge in different areas to allow graduates to be able to work straight away or continue their studies. Therefore, the course offered focuses on the acquisition of knowledge in <u>earth sciences</u>, <u>biological</u>, <u>agricultural and forestry systems</u>, <u>environmental</u> <u>sciences</u>, <u>city planning and landscape and</u> on acquiring the methodological tools needed for planning the environment and the landscape.

This degree course has the Faculty of Architecture of Sapienza Universita di Roma as its main didactic centre.

The degree provides the necessary requirements to access the following second-cycle degree courses:

- LM-3 (Landscape architecture),
- LM-48 (Environmental, urban and territorial planning)
- LM-73 (Science and forestry and environmental technologies) or similar,
- as well as *first-level master courses*.

Additional details about the UNITUS DIBAF study processes and course are provided in the below table.

European example from CNR	New course to be developed in Armenia	
University/Program Profile		
Criterion A: University profile: University of	Criterion A: University profile: ISEC	
Tuscia	Classic or applied: Research-based university	
Classic or applied:	Overall number of students: 800 (2018)	
Overall number of students: 7748 (2016)	Number of Environment protection related	
Number of Environment protection related	discipline: 2	
disciplines: N/A	Number of Environment protection students: 13	
Number of Environment protection students: N/A		
Criterion B: Program/discipline profile	Criterion B: Program/discipline profile	
Theoretical or applied: Both	Theoretical or applied: Both	
Number of students - N/A	Number of students - 13	
Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study	Role/part of the selected course(s) in the study	
program:	program: This is a course of two-year Master's	
One of three bachelor's degree courses of three-	degree program offered by ISEC	
year study programme offered by DIBAF.		
Course	Settings	
Criterion C: Course type	Criterion C: Course type	
Bachelor or master level: Bachelor	Bachelor or master level: Master	
Year/semester of studies (1/2/) – N/A	Year/semester of studies (1/2/): 1/2	
Selective or mandatory- mandatory	Selective or mandatory: Mandatory	
Theoretical / applied: Applied	Theoretical / applied: Applied	
Criterion D: Relations to other courses in the	Relations to other courses in the program	
program		
	Prerequisite courses:	
Prerequisite courses: N/A	Fundamentals of Landscapes.	
	Fundamentals of Sustainable Development,	
Outcome courses:	Complex Geoecological Mapping	
Second cycle of Bachelor's level courses: Landscape	Geoinformation Systems	
architecture; Environmental, urban and territorial		
planning; Science and forestry and environmental	Outcome courses: Ecology of Urban Environment,	
technologies	Spatial Data Infrastructure and Management	
First level Master Program: Guides and interpreters	(should be modernized into" Geospatial Data	
of the landscape	Management & Geocomputation for Sustainable	
and cultural heritage	Developement")	
٥		
If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from	If the course is a part of a group/cluster (from	
which it can be selected), other courses in this	which it can be selected), other courses in this	

group	group: N/A
Criterion E: Department teaching a course	Criterion E: Department teaching a course
Non-graduating / Graduating / Other: DIBAFE	Non-graduating / Graduating / Other: GIS and
Graduating	Remote Sensing Department
	Graduating
Criterion F: Course load (N/A)	Criterion F: Course load
Overall number of credits according to ECTS	Overall number of credits according to ECTS
regulations	regulations: 3 (30 hours=1ECTS)
Number of credits associated with particular course	Number of credits associated with particular course
activities (lectures / tutorials / practical work /	activities:
homework / etc.)	lectures / tutorials/ practical work / homework /
	etc.):
	lectures, practicals – 1 ECTS
	Individual (independent studies) – 2 ECTS
	ag aspects
Criterion G: Pedagogy	Criterion G: Pedagogy
Traditional place-based learning	Traditional place-based learning
Project-based learning	Project-based learning
Inquiry-based learning	Inquiry-based learning
Collaborative learning	Collaborative learning
Criterion H: Assessment (N/A)	Criterion H: Assessment
Exams (how many, oral / written / test-like)	Assessment is based on:
Testing (how often)	- 2 midterm exams (including 1 written and 1 oral
Grade computation (contribution of each course	exams)
activity to the final grade, availability of extra	- 1 final exam - oral
credits)	Contribution of each course activity (100%):
	Component 1 - Attendance, up to 2 points (10%)
	Component 2- 1st exam, up to 4 points (20%)
	Component 3 - 2nd exam, up to 4 points (20%)
	Component 4 - final exam, up to 10 points (50%)
Criterion I: Teaching resources	Criterion I: Teaching resources
Teaching hours - N/A	Teaching hours – 24 hours
Preparatory hours – N/A	Labs – 8 hours
Teaching assistants (grading / tutorials) - N/A	Preparatory hours -N/A
Labs- N/A	Teaching assistance – N/A
 Use of te	echnology
Criterion J: Use of professional tools	Criterion J: Use of professional tools
PCs, GIS softwares	PCs, smart desk, GIS softwares (QGIS)
Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems N/A	Criterion K: Use of TEL-systems
Name and type of the tool used (if any)	Not applicable
Supported activity (assessment, home works, exam	
preparation)	
Role on the course (mandatory component / extra	
credit opportunity / fully optional supplementary	

tool)	
Course statistics	
Criterion L: Course statistics	Criterion L: Course statistics
Average number of students enrolled in the course	Average number of students enrolled in the course:
- <i>N</i> / <i>A</i>	7
Average percentage of students successfully	Average percentage of students successfully
finishing the course— N/A	finishing the course: 88%
Average grades distribution- N/A	Average grades: 14.87 out of max. 20
Percentage of international students- N/A	Percentage of international students: 0
Overall student demographics (gender, age,	Overall student demographics:
nationality, scholarships, etc.) – N/A	gender: M-19%; F-81%,
Average rating of the course by students- N/A	age: N/A
	nationality: Armenian
	scholarships: 6% ,
	Average rating of the course by students: 4.9 (out
	of a maximum 5).
Course content	
Criterion M: Course competency profile	Criterion M: Course competency profile
Outcome competencies of the course:	
Students gain knowledge in Earth	Outcome competencies of the course:
Sciences, biological, agricultural and forestry	Students gain knowledge in landscape planning
systems, environmental sciences, city planning and	principals in the context of spatial planning for
landscape and on acquiring the methodological	sustainable development.
tools needed for planning the environment and the	
landscape.	Prerequisite competencies of the course:

The comparative analysis of syllabi in University of Tuscia (Italy) and ISEC allows figuring out that:

The fundamentals of landscapes.

Complex geoecological mapping

Geoinformation systems

The fundamentals of sustainable development,

- 1. Generally the main aim and outcomes of the courses are almost similar, though the courses are included in the different types of the programs (Master and Bachelor),
- 2. Both courses use similar set of tools and methods.

Prerequisite competencies of the course:

need of special prerequisite competencies.

It is a first level Bachelor's course, so there is no

It can be conclused from the comperative analysis of two courses that despite the lack of information and differences on several criteria (course load, statistics, etc), due to this shared degree course "Landscape Planning and Environmental Design" the partner University may gradually help with modernization and adaptation of "Landscape Planning course" at ISEC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The MENVIPRO consortium extends its gratitude to all project partners (AM and EU institutions) for their invaluable contribution to the development of course comparatives. Special thanks go to EU institutions for their warm welcome, support and hosting the Armenian delegation, as well as sharing their experience on environmental education. The efforts of EU local coordinators contributed substantially to the quality of the meetings and the effectiveness of the interchange was enhanced by the professionalism displayed by the staff.

For further information, please, contact us at International Scientific-Educational Center of NAS RA and for more detailed information about the project, please, use the project website (www.menvipro.eu) or e-mail address (gevorg.tepanosyan@cens.am).